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(Whereupon, at 9:07 a.m. the proceedings
commenced and the following ensued:)
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Matter before the

Court, Civil Action Number 09-1289, Manouchehr
Mohammadi, et al. versus Islamic Republic of Iran, et al.

Counsel, please come forward and identify yourselves for the record.

MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nice to meet you.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Klayman. Yes, nice to see you in person. We've only had telephonic conferences so far.

MR. KLAYMAN: I appreciate your accommodating us on the dates.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. KLAYMAN: This is Mr. Mohammadi sitting on my left, and he's the plaintiff, and our interpreter, Mr. Mohammad Moslehi, our translator.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, the interpreter has been sworn.

THE COURT: Thank you.
So for the record, the interpreter has been sworn in.

Is Mr. Mohammadi fairly fluent in English or really not very fluent?

MR. KLAYMAN: Not very fluent.
THE COURT: I see. All right.
So, Mr. Klayman, thank you very much. I got your bench memo this morning, which was a quick turnaround, given my questions about jurisdictional issues in this case.

So if you don't mind, I'd like to go through some of my questions because your bench memo, while helpful, didn't answer all of them.

So I'd like to start with that.
MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
THE COURT: First, $I$ just want to put on the record at the beginning of this bench trial something that we discussed at our telephonic conference about the scope of the claims here --

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: -- and make sure that my understanding, based on that telephonic conference, is correct that this case, although originally brought on behalf of a class, is no longer being pursued as a class action at all. The only plaintiffs who are seeking any relief in this case are the four plaintiffs named in the second amended complaint.

Am I correct on that?
MR. KLAYMAN: That is correct. Right.
THE COURT: Now, my next question has to do with whether or not each of the four plaintiffs is seeking relief under each of the four claims in the second amended complaint.

MR. KLAYMAN: They are.
THE COURT: Including for assault and battery?

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. So each of the four plaintiffs are subject to assault and battery? It wasn't clear from the complaint.

MR. KLAYMAN: They are actually still being subjected to that. They are being threatened by telephone, by Internet, with serious bodily injury, and death.

They're activists. They're out there speaking on behalf of the Iranian freedom movement. So even to this day -- that's one of the things I put into the memo this morning -- they continue to be harassed, in effect, mentally tortured and harmed.

THE COURT: All right. Let me just ask you. I mean, I saw it in the memo today. Is that the second amended complaint allegation?

MR. KLAYMAN: Which allegation?
THE COURT: The allegation of continued harassment, which was the term I think you used in your bench memo.

MR. KLAYMAN: Yeah. I haven't gone through that, Your Honor. I can go through it at lunchtime. But certainly, either explicitly or implicitly, that these acts continue.

We can just do this in English right now.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. KLAYMAN: And we have live testimony with respect to that.

THE COURT: I've looked at the second amended complaint, and there's no allegation that I could see about this continuing once the plaintiffs reached the United States.

You've also sought punitive damages, and I have some concern about the scope of my authority to provide for, you know, punitive damages.

So could you just explain to me what the basis is for the plaintiffs being entitled to punitive damages against all four defendants.

There are four defendants in the second amended complaint, right?

MR. KLAYMAN: The same basis, Your Honor.

THE COURT: For, you know, in particular, punitive damages on the common law claims?

MR. KLAYMAN: It would be the outrageous nature of the behavior, extreme behavior. I can brief this further, Your Honor. I did not look at that today.

However, we have Judge Lamberth's recent decisions with regard to the Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act and the Alien Tort Claims Act, and he did grant punitive damages to the defendants [sic], who were in a similar position to our plaintiffs in this case. So the punitives certainly are applicable under the statutory claims.

THE COURT: Are you referring to the Bodoff V. Iran case?

MR. KLAYMAN: The O'Brien versus Islamic Republic of Iran, if Your Honor would like a copy.

THE COURT: No. I think I've got it here.
MR. KLAYMAN: That is one measure of damages. And Judge Lamberth ordered a substantial amount of punitive damages in that case.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's now turn to what our -- what are, to me, the more significant subject matter jurisdictional issues here.

I understand from your bench memo that,
through your reference to, you know, the "FSIA, et seq.," that you were also meaning to incorporate Section $1605(a)$.

MR. KLAYMAN: Correct.
THE COURT: And in particular with $1605(a)$, the state-sponsored terrorism provision requires for this Court to exercise subject matter jurisdiction is something that you have outlined. It's not paginated, I guess. Page 4--

MR. KLAYMAN: I apologize. We did this quickly traveling to DC.

THE COURT: No. I appreciate that.
Where you say on the top of the page:
"There are three requirements: One, state sponsor of terrorism."

I don't think there's any question here that that requirement is met in this case.

MR. KLAYMAN: Correct.
THE COURT: Second, the claimant is a national of the United States, as you stated in your bench memo. And, three, the foreign state is afforded the opportunity to arbitrate.

Now, before going to the second requirement, which $I$ think is the one that is most troubling to me here, let me just turn quickly to the arbitration, you
know, requirement. I guess it's your position that the fact that you filed a complaint and received no -and served the complaint and summons on Iran here with no response is sufficient to meet the requirement of the arbitration --

MR. KLAYMAN: Correct.
THE COURT: -- requirement?
MR. KLAYMAN: Correct.
THE COURT: And do you have case law on that?

MR. KLAYMAN: Well, the same thing occurred with regard to the Judge Lamberth decision, the O'Brien case. There was no response at all. And there's been a whole series of cases out there -- we can brief this further if Your Honor would like -where the same thing has happened. Iran never responds to anything. So consequently, it was hard to get dialogue with them or have them assert any right to arbitrate.

THE COURT: Right. Well, I'm going to -- I will ask you to supplement your briefing on that point --

MR. KLAYMAN: Sure.
THE COURT: -- so that $I$ can be assured that merely ignoring a summons and complaint is sufficient
to meet the requirement of affording a foreign state the opportunity to arbitrate. If the cover letter, for example, for the summons and complaint doesn't say something as simple as if you want to arbitrate these claims, we're willing to, or let us know, or something like that -- you know, some more explicit offer to arbitrate.

So if you would educate me on that, Mr. Klayman, I invite you to do so.

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
THE COURT: Another person just walked in. Do you want to introduce this person sitting at counsel table.

MR. KLAYMAN: This is Miss Nasrin Mohammadi. She's another plaintiff.

THE COURT: Good morning, Miss Mohammadi.
MS. MOHAMMADI: Good morning.
THE COURT: All right. Are there any other plaintiff parties who you are expecting to arrive?

MR. KLAYMAN: Not who would testify here today.

THE COURT: All right. Okay.
MR. KLAYMAN: Today, Your Honor, we'll have Mr. Manouchehr Mohammadi testify. He may not finish. He's going to be the primary witness in this case,
along with Ms. Nasrin Mohammadi. And at 2 p.m., we have Mr. Ken Timmerman, who will be here, and then former CIA director Jim Woolsey will be here at 3.

THE COURT: All right. So let me turn to the provision on subject matter jurisdiction which gives me the most concern, which is, in your formulation, the claimant is a national of the United States.

I do appreciate that the Mohammadis -- are now citizens of the United States.

MR. KLAYMAN: Miss Nasrin Mohammadi is a citizen. Mr. Manouchehr Mohammadi is a permanent resident. The sister, Simin, who is actually a plaintiff -- we're going to offer testimony through these two witnesses here -- she's a citizen of the United States. And the two parents are permanent residents of the United States.

THE COURT: Okay. So are permanent residents -- in your view, do permanent residents qualify to meet this requirement?

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes, they do.
THE COURT: And I'll ask you to provide case law on that.

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. I know from talking with my associate, who $I$ left back in the office, that
he researched that yesterday. So we'll give you some --

THE COURT: All right. The one important part that you left out of the second requirement, or your summary of it where you state the claimant is a national of the United States under $1605(a)(c)$, which is the provision you're relying on for subject matter jurisdiction, the claimant or the victim has to have been a national of the United States at the time of the acts described in Paragraph 1, which is at the time of the acts -- of the state-sponsored terrorism.

So based on what -- it's not clear from your complaint exactly when the plaintiffs became nationals and whether they became nationals at the time they were subjected to the state-sponsored terrorism in Iran or not, which is what I'd like you to address now.

And how do you -- how do you satisfy the requirement under $1605(a)$ that they were nationals of the United States, even if $I$ presume right now that being a permanent resident qualifies as a national -that they were enjoying that status at the time described in Paragraph 1, which is what $1605(a)$ requires?

MR. KLAYMAN: What we're looking for right
now, Your Honor -- we're actually continuing our research efforts here, because you have situations where people who are refugees or have been politically persecuted pledge their allegiance to the United States without having a formal declaration at that time of permanent residency or citizenship -- is that, number one, $I$ believe the definition in the context of the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act is somewhat broader than it would be just in the immigration context, in that we have a situation here where -- and we're going to offer testimony to this effect.

For instance, Mr. Manouchehr Mohammadi came to the United States; he was speaking out on freedom before, in fact, the acts occurred with regard to his imprisonment. When he arrived back in Iran, when he was imprisoned, he was accused of being a CIA agent, and that testimony will show that today. We're going to go through that.

At that point, he pledged his allegiance to the United States. He knew that he had no longer any allegiance to the regime. It was something that he pledged in his actions and in his deeds, but there was not a formal application for residency or citizenship at that time.

And we believe that the definition in the

Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act can be read more broadly than in the simple context of applying for residency or citizenship in the United States.

That being said, Your Honor, with regard to the acts that are continuing, and there is proof of it, we are going to offer testimony that it has continued.

Miss Nasrin Mohammadi has had her website hacked. I'm proffering the testimony that she'll provide. She's been threatened with death.

Mr. Manouchehr Mohammadi gets calls where he's threatened with death if he continues to talk. So it has continued. And --

THE COURT: Well, this is my --
MR. KLAYMAN: And I understand Your Honor is looking for it in the complaint, but --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. KLAYMAN: -- we believe it's --
THE COURT: It's not in the complaint. But even if what you just said were elaborated on in the complaint, I'm not sure that cures your subject matter jurisdiction issue, Mr. Klayman, and this is why:
 be a national of the United States -- and I understand that you're trying to broaden what that definition of
"national" means in this context -- but even in order to bring them within the rubric of this provision and satisfy the fact that they have to be a national at the time of the acts, the state-sponsored terrorism, occurred. And as $I$ understand it, your alternative position is that -- it's not alternative -- additional position is that once they did become nationals, they were subject to some kind of continuing harassment here in the United States.
And if I credit -- assume it's true until I
hear the testimony, that that involved telephone calls, hacking of websites, threats communicated verbally over the phone, and other kinds of activities at a time when they -- at least two of the plaintiffs were clearly U.S. citizens who were involved under the protection of the statute, my question is: How does that qualify as the acts of state-sponsored terrorism, which (c) specifically is predicated on, because it says in the first line of (c): "A foreign state that is or was a state sponsor of terrorism as described in Subsection A(2) (A) (i)".

And that -- the nature of state-sponsored terrorism is clearly defined within that provision to be "personal injury or death caused by an act of torture, extrajudicial killing, aircraft sabotage,
hostage taking, or the provision of material support or resources for such an act."

And I don't see even how hacking a website, even telephonic threats, constitute even one of those limited acts of state-sponsored terrorism.

MR. KLAYMAN: We would submit that continuing death threats, that you continue to speak out and you will be kidnapped, tortured -- killed does fall within that definition of "torture." That is a type of torture, particularly given what happened before with regard to the considerable torture that we're going to testify to, physical torture.

It is clear that you can have an assault, I have other cases to this effect -- you can have an assault through a physical -- through an oral communication. That can be a type of assault -threaten someone with serious bodily injury or death.

So consequently, that is a form of
continuing torture, particularly under the medical conditions that they're in today, which these two are basket cases, in effect. And you'll see that through the testimony, since they can't even work. That's how much they've been terrorized.

THE COURT: And the FSIA -- does it have a definition of "torture" in it? It's the same as in
the Torture Victim Protection Act.
MR. KLAYMAN: I don't mean to interrupt, Your Honor, but we use that form of torture, too, effectively, sometimes. We threaten people with confinement. We threaten them with water-boarding, we threaten them with other things. What we do is minor compared to what has been done with Iran, and we do it with a justifiable basis. It wasn't justified in Iran.

Given the nature of these plaintiffs, given their high profile -- Akbar Mohammadi and Manouchehr Mohammadi are major figures in the Iranian freedom movement. They were the founders of that freedom movement. They are on the line. They are within the line of fire. And as you'll see -- and $I$ have a video here today. You'll see that the level of torture was so brutal that it even makes a Hollywood horror movie look like child's play.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Klayman. I meant to bring out the Torture Protection Act.

Could you get it?
I'm just going to ask my law clerk to bring it out for me so that $I$ can look at that specific definition of what "torture" means, because it sounds --

THE LAW CLERK: I think it should be in here. It's 28 U.S.C. --

THE COURT: 1350?
THE LAW CLERK: -- 1350.
THE COURT: Just so I'm understanding what you're requesting in terms of stretching the law here, Mr. Klayman, you're requesting, one, that $I$ interpret the definition of "national" to be so broad as to cover permanent residents; two, you're asking me to interpret "torture" to cover some of the harassing incidents that have occurred to the plaintiffs during the time that at least two of them were U.S. citizens here in the United States.

MR. KLAYMAN: And the parents, Your Honor, who are permanent residents. They're back in Tehran with their permanent residency. They can't get out. And they are constantly under threats, followed, threatened, you know, emotionally beaten. It's a type of torture. The parents are there, and they're hostage, in effect, in Tehran.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. KLAYMAN: It's not the ordinary
situation where you have Iranians that have parents back in Tehran. I have many people to that effect.

THE COURT: All right. So until I get the

Torture Protection Act, I'll turn to the torture issue.

MR. KLAYMAN: And we may be creating some precedent here, with Your Honor's permission, because I don't think the situation has arisen before in this context, factually, looking at the cases.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that's been my -- in preparation for this bench trial, as I puzzled over exactly how $I$ have subject matter jurisdiction here, $I$ would think that you're right, and I just want to be absolutely clear on where whatever decisions you're asking me to make might be precedential-setting.

So let me just proceed to the personal -All right. The term "torture" is defined in the Torture Victim Protection Act, which is incorporated in the FSIA, as -- means "any act directed against an individual in the offender's custody or physical control" -- which is one significant requirement -- "by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on that individual for such purposes as obtaining from that individual or a third person information or a confession punishing that individual for an act that individual or a third
person has committed or is suspected of having committed, intimidating or coercing that individual or third person, or for any reason based on discrimination of any kind."

And then it goes on to describe the mental pain and suffering.

But even with a broad reading of the mental pain and suffering, the question here is how phone calls with -- even horrible ones that contain death threats, meet the definitional requirement that the individual must be in the offender's custody or physical control, let alone how a website hacking could meet that specific requirement for "torture."

MR. KLAYMAN: Well, there are, in this country, Your Honor, a significant number of agents of the Iranian regime, particularly in Los Angeles, where they live. And in fact, the FBI has a separate section that's dedicated just to Iran in Los Angeles. And one can conclude that they are, in effect, still within the custody in a broader sense of this regime, which is threatening to kill them. And people do, and have done it, not just elsewhere in this country, but in this city, in Washington, by that regime.

In fact, the Saudi ambassador -- just about a year and a half ago, it was uncovered there was a
plot here in Washington to kill him. And one could maintain that he was, in effect, with the agents that they have here, in Iran. It's not farfetched, even in this country. They're still effectively, in part, in the custody of this regime because they're being followed, they're being threatened.

Yes, they're operating in this country, and they operate in other countries. Iran is notorious for being very sophisticated. We are not talking about an unsophisticated, third world country, but having agents which can actually create a situation of custody in a foreign sovereign state. So I would read that somewhat more broadly than just to say they have to be in a prison cell.

THE COURT: I think far more broadly than the language would allow. But I'll permit you to address that question --

MR. KLAYMAN: I'll re-brief that, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: -- in a supplemental briefing.
MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. Sure.
THE COURT: All right. Some additional
questions that $I$ have about subject matter jurisdiction here -- actually, not subject matter jurisdiction. It's really about -- it's interrelated
with the scope of the claims here, and it has to do with the defendant -- individual defendants Khamenei and --

MR. KLAYMAN: Ahmadinejad.
THE COURT: Right. Ahmadinejad. All right.
How -- under what provision of law do I have any jurisdiction to evaluate claims or hear claims against those two individuals?

MR. KLAYMAN: That was the last part of our brief. The case proceeds independently on alternative grounds. In Samantar versus Yousuf, Supreme Court, 130 Supreme Court 2278 (2010), the Court held that the Foreign Sovereign Immunity Act does not preclude actions brought under the Alien Tort Claims Act, nor the Torture Victim Protection Act. And the Court ruled that a case could proceed against the Somalian prime minister.

Your Honor will hear testimony from a number of experts --

THE COURT: Before you leave Samantar, as I read Samantar, it did say that, but it also made clear that even if there was appropriate service in the exercise of jurisdiction under the FSIA against a state or an organ or instrumentality of the state, that did not provide -- it didn't bar a suit against
officials who may have been acting in their official capacity as individuals, but as -- before a suit could proceed against those individuals, the court, by necessity -- hornbook law -- had to have personal jurisdiction over those two individuals:

And I'm reading from Footnote 20 of the Supreme Court's case where it says, "a plaintiff seeking to sue a foreign official will not be able to rely on the Act's" -- meaning the SFIA's service of process and jurisdictional provisions. "Thus the plaintiff will have to establish that the District Court has personal jurisdiction over an official without the benefit of the FSIA provision that makes personal jurisdiction over a foreign state automatic when an exception to immunity applies, and service of process has been accomplished in accordance with 28 USC Section 1608."

So on what basis are you asserting that I have any authority to exercise personal jurisdiction over the two individual defendants in this case, even if $I$ have subject matter jurisdiction and, as a consequence, personal jurisdiction over Iran and the Revolutionary Guard, if $I$ accept your broad reading of different provisions of the FSIA?

MR. KLAYMAN: First of all, you're going to
hear testimony from lay witnesses and from experts, and there have been affidavits put in the record already that these actions that were taking place and are taking place against the plaintiffs are at the direction and authority of Khamenei, the Ayatollah, and Ahmadinejad. They don't happen without their authorization, particularly at this high a level, with these kind of dissidents that we're talking about here.

THE COURT: Well, that could provide -- that could provide some vicarious liability to the state for those actions, but that doesn't give me personal jurisdiction over those two individual officials.

MR. KLAYMAN: Tortious acts, as Your Honor knows, would inure not just to an entity; it would inure to the individual. For instance, to use a rough analogy outside of the area of terrorism, is that if you're suing a law firm for malpractice, for instance, it's not just the law firm that you sue, but the individual lawyers.

THE COURT: Well, I'm glad you brought that up, because if $I$ follow that -- if that's the basis for my exercise of personal jurisdiction, which is the tortious acts of these officials, then aren't I required to look to the DC Long-Arm Statute and
evaluate the minimum contacts that these two individuals have with this jurisdiction --

MR. KLAYMAN: There is a --
THE COURT: -- in order -- before $I$ can exercise personal jurisdiction over them?

MR. KLAYMAN: Well, there is a -- and we didn't have time to research this and put this in there, but acts of terrorism, human rights violations, crimes against humanity, they're under international law, which the United States recognizes. You have worldwide jurisdiction.

I'm going to give you an example.
THE COURT: Well, $I$ know $I$ was powerful. I didn't know $I$ was quite that powerful.

MR. KLAYMAN: You are powerful, Your Honor.
General Pinochet, for instance, a while back, from Chilé, was indicted under Spanish law even though he committed no acts in spain. In fact, he was arrested when he went to Europe, taken into custody, tried in Spain. He was convicted. And yet none of his acts actually took place in Spain, because acts of terrorism, foreign acts, are under Europe personal jurisdiction and it goes to those individuals.

The Alien Tort Claims Act was, in fact, enacted just for that reason. In fact, we brought the
case against the Supreme Leader and Ahmadinejad under the Alien Tort Claims Act as well. This act came -arose during the time of the Barbary pirates down in the Caribbean and elsewhere who were kidnapping family members and torturing them and violating their human rights and doing all kinds of things.

The acts occurred outside the territorial waters of the United States. And the Alien Tort Claims Act gives personal jurisdiction in American courts for that law. And that's in accordance with international law.

THE COURT: Well --
MR. KLAYMAN: I can brief that further, too.
THE COURT: Well, I'm not convinced of that point, nor the other points, Mr. Klayman. But -- and as you can tell from both my order and my questions this morning, I am not fully persuaded yet that $I$ have either subject matter jurisdiction to hear these claims, nor personal jurisdiction over the two individual officials in order to enter any form of default judgment against any four of the defendants, particularly on -- based on the allegations in the second amended complaint, which do not as fully comport with the allegations you've set forth in both your trial memo, your bench memo and in your oral
argument this morning to support your views of how far the law can be stretched to provide any relief to the plaintiffs here for what are clearly horrible acts. But this Court is a court of limited jurisdiction, and I cannot exceed my jurisdictional bounds.

So I am going to hear testimony today, but I don't want you or your clients to be under any misimpression about my continuing feelings of being quite troubled by the jurisdictional -- both subject matter and personal jurisdictional issues raised by the -- by these claims. And I will permit supplemental briefing and give you time to think about how much time you will need to provide that.

Since the plaintiffs have been waiting for some time now to tell their story, to have their claims heard, I'm not going to deny them that opportunity now, and we'll give you sufficient time to try and persuade me of your very creative interpretations of both the "torture" definition and the scope and reach of the FSIA.

MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. With that, could you please call your first witness.

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. We call to the stand Manouchehr Mohammadi.

MANOUCHEHR MOHAMMADI,
a witness produced on call of the plaintiff, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified through the interpreter as follows:

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Mohammadi.
MR. MOHAMMADI: Good morning. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Please proceed, Mr. Klayman. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Would you please state your name.
A. My name is Manouchehr Mohammadi [in

English].
MR. KLAYMAN: He can speak some English.
Okay.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Where were you born?
A. I don't -- I don't see.

Iran, Amol City.
Q. When were you born?

THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, if you could, for the benefit of my court reporter and the clarity of the record, on some of these names that are unusual, could you ask the witness to please spell the names. MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Would you please spell the name of the city where you were born.
A. In $A-M-O-L$.
Q. In what year were you born?
A. August 29, 1967 .
Q. How old are you now?
A. Forty-four years [sic].
Q. Can you please describe for the Court your educational background.
A. I was -- I was a student in the field of economics, University of Tehran, and also I was a student, law student of Payam Mour. Payam, $P-A-Y-A-M$, $M-O-U-R$.

MR. KLAYMAN: By the way, Your Honor, just as an aside, we have his affidavit in the record, too, so I'll try not to be too duplicative of that.

THE COURT: If you could skip over some of
this. I mean, I appreciate setting -- giving some basic factual background, but $I$ have the affidavits.

MR. KLAYMAN: Sure.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. When -- in 1994, did you form and participate in any student organizations?
A. In 1994, yes. Yes. The name of the
organization was the Students Independent Clear -Independent Clear -- not related to the government. That was belief in separation of religion and government, but the style of fighting and the way for fighting the government was peaceful, never believing in harsh ways.

THE COURT: And what was the name of the student group?

THE WITNESS: The Organization of the Students -- Clear Thinker of the Students of Iran. This was a political organization. Also, it was also a human -- a human rights organization, which was named Committee of Defending Students From -- For the Political Prisoners.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Tell the Court what happened to you and your brother Akbar -- let me back up. Was Akbar part of that group?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell the Court what you and Akbar did with regard to that group and what the government did in response.
A. The time that nobody would be allowed to breed dictatorship and govern --

THE COURT: Excuse me just one second.

Mr. Klayman, if you could direct the witness to say something and then let the interpreter interpret it, because the talking over them is making it very difficult for me to hear, and if $I$ can't hear, I am confident my court reporter is having some difficulty as well. So he should just pause.

It's a little clumsy, but it's necessary. BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Mr. Mohammadi, please keep your answers short to give the interpreter a chance to translate, and then after the interpreter translates, you can continue your response. But pause -- stop to give him a chance to translate.

Continue.
A. At the time that nobody had the time or the chance -- myself and a group of students, my brother, we formed a political student organization at the university, of course, and also formed a human rights group. We started fighting -- defending the political prisoners. We brought up our ideas, that there is no freedom in Iran. Political policy of Islamic Republic is a terroristic one, and the rulers of Iran have isolated themselves and also the Iranian people.

We were being paid attention by the Iranian people and also foreign media. They paid attention to
us, and especially the ones located in the United States, such as the Voice of America, which is a public radio, was transmitting our words and always were calling us on the phone, interviewing us, and also a group of $T V$ stations located in Los Angeles, who are private. And these caused us, that the world pay attention to us, and the sensitivity of the regime was elevated against us because, after a while, we were being loved by the Iranian people. The regime didn't like this; therefore, invitations from the American organizations and university, and also the European ones, started coming for us.

I had a three-month trip to the United States. I gave a lecture at Columbia University, New York, as well as UC Berkeley, as well as many political Iranian organizations, as well as University of Chicago, also other universities.

When I went to Germany, there the Green Party in Germany, the government Green Party welcomed us, as well as the universities.

Therefore, this was not welcomed by the Islamic Republic, and it brought an excuse against us, against my foreign travels and started filing a dossier, tapping our telephones. The MOI, Ministry of Intelligence, was recording our interviews since we
were being broadcasted by the radios.
THE COURT: Mr. Klayman -- excuse me.
Mr. Mohammadi, did you travel by yourself on this three-month tour or -- and was your brother Akbar with you?

THE WITNESS: No. My brother was in Iran, was having the leadership of the students. I was traveling with an individual named Reza Mohajerinejad, M-O-H-A-J-E-R-I-N-E-J-A-D. He lives in San Francisco. He graduated with Master's degree from the political science and recently had written a book about this -wrote a letter concerning the tortures, that Mr. Larry has a copy of it.

When we returned to Iran, the greatest student movement and organization was formed. It was named, at Tehran University, 18th of Tir -- I don't know exactly the calendar, what 18 of Tir is, 1999. What month? Larry has the Christian equivalent date.

Then the Islamic Republic brought an excuse against the $18 t h$ of Tir movement, arrested myself and my brother. And they arrested hundreds of our followers, collectively arrested thousands of people. In our group only, hundreds of people were arrested, and they tortured us.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Let me stop you.

Based on your experience and knowledge, were the arrest orders at the direction of the Supreme Leader Khamenei, President Ahmadinejad?
A. Order of -- above the order of the President, he's the Supreme Leader, and the leadership imposes his own wills. Anybody who wants to oppose the leadership cannot become the president.
Q. My question is --
A. The president and his government obeys the rules and orders of the leader.
Q. But the orders were issued as a direction of the Supreme Leader and the President?
A. Both. We know it exactly, that he was issued by the Supreme Leader and also as well as Ahmadinejad.
Q. Okay.
A. That the MOI, the Ministry of Intelligence executes them or implements them.

Since we had questioned the leadership in our interviews, myself and my brother, both, when we were arrested, they started torturing us. They told us that you have to give interviews, you have to tell us that we were ordered by the United States -- we were ordered by the CIA to create this movement to
overthrow the body of Valifheih government, and they wrote that we have to memorize these and tell them on TVs; otherwise, we would be executed.

Akbar did not do this. Whatever they did, he did not obey. But I gave interviews. I told them that our aim was -- I told them that $I$ was not instructed by the CIA, since it was not true, but whatever was true, $I$ told them. Our goal was overthrowing the Valifheih, V-A-L-I-F-H-E-I-H, government.

The film was broadcasted over Iranian TV over an hour and forty minutes. I have it in my possession. I can give it to Mr. Larry to give to Your Honor, but how they tried over on TV -- deceive the people that Mohammadi is an American agent, invited him to the U.S., brainwashed him, and they gave -- the plan to overthrow the regime was given to him, and then he was sent to Iran to implement this overthrowing plan.

But the people of Iran will not believe whatever the regime says since they know the regime is a liar, since they know that it's an oppressor.

This not only did not cost me, I benefited from it. It was for me, not against me.

For months, these $T V s$ and radios were
advertising against us, but they got not the result that they anticipated, but otherwise. They were advertising, but on the other hand, my brother and I were under torture.
Q. Let me stop you here.

At this point in time, did you change your allegiance to the United States rather than Iran?
A. Yes. When $I$ saw the freedom in America and the freedom for the people in America, I came to the conclusion that my homeland is where that respects our freedom.

Consequently, $I$ went against the Mullahcracy regime; therefore, $I$ became for the freedom in the United States, and I had made a decision to stay here, to become a citizen and go to school here. But I felt that I have to free the Iranian people. I would reach out. I decided to start a public uprising, but we were suppressed and oppressed in a harsh way.
Q. Now, did Akbar feel the same way that you felt?
A. Absolutely.
Q. He also pledged his permanent allegiance to the United States at that time?
A. Yes, absolutely. The people of Iran do not know themselves as Iranian people. Iran belongs to a
bunch of mullahs. They have killed, as much as they have been able to, thousands of people. Nobody has security, freedom; doesn't have freedom.

And I would be tortured in front of my brother and my brother would be tortured before my eyes.
Q. We're going to get into the torture. Before we do that, who are your brothers and sisters?
A. Nasrin -- I have two sisters, Nasrin $N-A-S-R-I-N$, and Simin, $S-I-M-I-N . \quad$ Simin was taken into custody. She was threatened to be raped, and they damaged her psychology. She has been growing now -- hates intimacy with men and hates to pick up a boyfriend after these threats -- not happy or doesn't want to pick up a boyfriend or choose a boyfriend since the threat of being raped was being done in solitary confinement cells.

My father was arrested. My mother was arrested. They tortured, then when they saw that all of us are fighting the regime, they set fire on our house, and the plan to terror my brother Reza, who now is in the United States. They wanted to kidnap him twice, to kill him, but others -- other people rescued my brother. He was fired from work. We -- my father, my mom, my brother, my sister, and I were in jail at
the same time, five of us.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Klayman, I want to know -- when you ask him, and he so clearly says that he pledged his allegiance to the United States, both he and Akbar, I want to know precisely what steps they took to effectuate that. It's not clear to me from his testimony where and when these incidents involving his brother occurred, whether they occurred here in the United States, whether they occurred in Iran, when they occurred, where he was fired from his job.

Could you please -- sometimes, just letting a witness proceed like this leaves lots of -- lack of clarity of what, when, where -- questions that are critical to having his testimony at all useful.

MR. KLAYMAN: I agree with you. I was going to go back and clean it up.

THE COURT: Why don't you start now.
MR. KLAYMAN: The affidavit is more specific with dates and times and places. It's fairly lengthy, with attachments. The affidavit is eleven pages long.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Can you tell us -- let me just ask these general questions first, and I'll get back and ask those questions.

Reza, is he a citizen now of the United

States?
A. Reza is citizen right now. His wife is a citizen right now.
Q. Where does Reza live?
A. Lives in Fresno, California. Himself and his wife are both students.
Q. Okay. At the time that the torture began with regard to you, Akbar, Simin, when was that?
A. In 1999, I was arrested, and Akbar. Both of us were in jail for seven years and two months. When we were both arrested, at the time that we were both arrested, they started torturing us. Simin was arrested three years later. I don't know if -- I don't know exactly -- exactly, but it was after we were arrested. My parents were arrested after us.

Of course, prior to our arrest, our father was arrested. I have to tell you this. I am telling about the prison that $I$ spent plenty of time over there, but prior to that time $I$ was arrested for a few days or a few weeks, but the pressure of the media caused President Ahmadinejad to intervene to free me promptly.

But student movement of Tehran University, that after $I$ was in jail, had spent time in jail for seven years and two months, regime -- since the regime
believed our efforts was to overthrow the regime, both the leadership, Supreme Leader and the presidency, cooperated to take us to jail.
Q. Let me stop you there.

At the time that you and Akbar declared your permanent allegiance to the United States and intended to come here, what steps did you take after that to put that plan into effect?
A. We were freed. Undoubtedly because we have been under torture so much, we would definitely come to the United States.

When we were under torture, then -- then we realized what the difference between the United States and Iran is and how far. Then $I$ swear that if this time $I$ would be free, we wouldn't -- if both of us would be freed, we wouldn't stay in Iran at all, directly come to the U.S. and become a citizen of the United States. And it was then and there we were wishing -- I wish -- we wish the Iranian people had a government such as the United States. And then we were wishing that -- wished to have freedom like the people in the -- of the United States. Then I swore I would travel to the United States and become a citizen of the United States.

MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, let me just ask
him to stop right now.
Would you like me to get into the steps right now or take it in order? Because there's a progression here of his torture and his escape and his coming to the United States, so we can take it in order when he formally applied and became a permanent resident.

THE COURT: Could you just clarify all the details about when he became -- when he came -because $I$ want to know when he did come to the United States, and how many years ago that was. And he's not a citizen now; am $I$ correct, Mr. Klayman?

MR. KLAYMAN: Permanent resident.
THE COURT: He's just a permanent resident?
MR. KLAYMAN: Yeah.
THE COURT: So let's get that clarified first before we then return back to Iran.

MR. KLAYMAN: I would provide the law, but I did have my associate check yesterday that permanent residency is treated the same as citizenship for that definition. At least that's what he told me on the phone.

THE COURT: I'm going to await your
briefing.
MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.

Do you want him to get to that now, or could we get through the progression of his torture and him getting out of prison and coming here? How would you like that handled?

THE COURT: I'd actually like to just have it -- you can go back to this, but I'd really like to know, when did he finally come to the United States? When did he become a permanent resident? Is he proceeding to move forward with attaining citizenship?

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. And we're going to get testimony later today from Michael Ledeen, who was the National Security Adviser under President Reagan, who actually assisted him in coming here. So we'll get more testimony at that time -- and other family members.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Mr. Mohammadi, when did you come to the United States and apply for permanent residency?
A. Approximately, I had come to the U.S. six years prior to that.
Q. Prior to what?
A. After my brother Akbar was killed, the pressure -- the world pressure and also the rights organization, the statement from the European Union and the State Department caused that I would be given
vacation. I used the opportunity, assisted by the Democratic Party of Iran -- station being Iraq -- they planned the operation. I was taken out of Iran from the mountains, took me to their bases in Iraq. From there, Mr. Richard Perle and Michael Ledeen helped me, told the Iraqi government, take care of me, to bring me to the United States. Finally, the U.S. Army was instructed to Kurdistan, Iraqi Kurdistan, to bring me to the United States.

Of course, prior to the event that
Mr. Michael Ledeen and Richard Perle intervened, Iraq was trying to deport me to Iran -- put pressure on the Kurdish people or the party to take me to Turkey.

I suffered plenty of dangers in the mountains or through the mountains. I entered Turkey. I was arrested by the Turkish police at the airport. I was taken to jail. Then the State Department of the United States intervened, talked to the Turkish government so that the U.S. Army in Iraq could take custody of me.

Therefore, this was done. I was -- boarded a plane from Turkey. I was taken to Iraq, and from there, $I$ was brought to -- by the military plane, the American military plane, to Germany. From the U.S. Air Force base in Germany, $I$ was boarded on another
military plane. I was brought into U.S. Air Force base in the United States.

Then after, $I$ had a visit with all the undersecretaries of the states, as well as the Assistant to the National Security of the United States.

THE COURT: What year were you brought to the United States?

THE WITNESS: It's approximately seven years ago, between six or seven years.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. And what steps were taken to gain your entry in terms of visas or applications for permanent residency, things like that?
A. Indeed, I owe my life to the United States. I know the United States my real country, my homeland. This was why twice $I$ decided to be faithful with my allegiance, to remain faithful.

First time -- the first time was when $I$ came to the United States for the first time. And the second time I decided to be faithful with my, you know, allegiance, when $I$ was arrested, when $I$ was under torture -- when $I$ was under torture.
Q. Okay.
A. I decided to be faithful to the United

States and United States to be my homeland. How can I love Iran and the rulers of Iran are destroying the people of Iran? What should I be proud of Iran for?
Q. What steps were taken to get your permanent residency?

Is that what Your Honor wanted to ask?
THE COURT: Let me ask.
When did you first apply for permanent residency in the United States?

THE WITNESS: Approximately, I think, three years ago, I obtained my permanent residency since I was constantly changing addresses. My mails were going to different address that $I$ wouldn't know for two years. At one time -- one time, I found out after a year where my mails were going.

Since I didn't know the system, how the system would work, I didn't know. The reason why I was -- my -- I was shocked psychologically and damaged psychologically. I cannot concentrate well.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Klayman, I would like you to supplement the affidavits --

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
THE COURT: -- of all four of the plaintiffs, providing details --

MR. KLAYMAN: I think that's easier.

THE COURT: -- exactly what their status is, when they obtained that status, when they started the application process for the status. You could add that to the paperwork you're providing.

In addition, I'd like the similar the paperwork regarding the parents.

Mr. Mohammadi, when did your parents become permanent residents of the United States?

THE WITNESS: My parents obtained their permanent residency two years ago. When they returned to Iran the second time, since my -- one of my brothers lives with his family. When they wanted to return to the U.S., their passports were seized at the airport, and they were assaulted. They were told that your kids, your daughters, your son are being interviewed by media in the u.S. You're antirevolutionary, and now you want to go to the U.S. We will not let you go. We will do something with -that you stay here and die here. Right now, my mom cannot stand up on his [sic] feet, and my father is taking care of her. Because of suffering, pain from being kept far away from us, they are suffering psychologically. Any moment we can anticipate the death of my mom.

THE COURT: Why don't we take a break for
ten minutes now.
(Whereupon, at 10:38 a.m. a recess was taken and at 10:47 a.m. the following ensued:)

MR. KLAYMAN: By the way, Miss Mohammadi speaks English.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Please proceed, Mr. Klayman.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Tell us exactly how you were tortured, how Akbar was tortured leading up to Akbar's death and your escape from Iran.
A. When Akbar and I were arrested, they were torturing us separately. And when they were seeing our resistance, they came to the conclusion to torture us separately before each other's eyes in order to force us to break down.

I have a question for Your Honor. When two people have the same complaints simultaneously, does the judge come and slap on the face of the person who is being charged? And the chief of the law enforcement in Tehran --

When Akbar and $I$ were arrested, each of us were taken blindfolded to separate cells. And they asked us to open up the blindfold, and twelve prosecutors were behind me. When I opened the
blindfold, $I$ saw a clergyman in front of me, and he asked me whether $I$ know him. His face was not familiar to me, but his name was familiar. I told him no, I don't know you, and he told me that he is Mr. Rahbarpour.

THE INTERPRETER: I will spell it out. $R-A-H-B-A-R-P-O-U-R$.

THE WITNESS: He said that I'm
Mr. Rahbarpour. I told him, now I know you. You are the chief prosecutor of the province of Tehran.

And he told me that $I$ think the country doesn't have owner or leadership, and he slapped me on my face, and he told the twelve prosecutors who were standing behind me that $I$ will render my judgment for Manouchehr's execution. Take him to the rooftop blind and hang him right there, and now lead me to Akbar's cell. And he did the same thing to Akbar.

Consequently, when they wanted to break us psychologically down, and five times there was semi-execution were conducted to us, it's being called. It's very popular, being called, to force you to fear execution.

THE COURT: Mr. Mohammadi, were you a witness to what they did to your brother Akbar at this time?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Akbar was being tortured before my eyes, and $I$ was being tortured before Akbar's eyes.

And we were taken to the rooftop five times, and there was a rope, also a gallow. Ask us to write our will, we want to execute you. This was a fear execution. They really didn't want to execute us.

And the reason was that we were resisting physical tortures, but resisting psychological tortures is very hard and very difficult.

Five times we were taken on the rooftops with different excuses, and then they were returning us to the solitary confinement, solitary cells.

We were hearing my father's cries and mother's cries while we were being told that we have arrested your parents. So they were kind of imitating their voices and screams, but actually they were not arrested at that moment and time. They were not under arrest.

They wanted us -- to break us psychologically, since whole time we were hearing the screams of our parents being under torture, although after a few years, my parents were arrested. The psychological torture exists a lot.

They have done something that now that I'm
here in your presence, there is not a time that $I$ would not remember Akbar and he being under torture. I don't enjoy the beauties, any beauties in the nature, either the ocean nor the nature, since Akbar comes to my mind, since Akbar fought to gain freedom, but he was killed.

How can $I$ go to -- how can I go to the seaside and enjoy it? My psychological -- I've been damaged psychologically. I -- I love to be tortured physically all my life, but not psychologically. It is too difficult to suffer and resist that.

If they give me billions of dollars and the world, $I$ don't like it, but $I$ like to gain my psychology, but $I$ can't. I'm unable to -- I'm just a body. I don't enjoy the beauties and the nature.

It's been six and a half years since I've been here, but I'm unable to learn English. Psychological pressure is on me. While -- when $I$ was a student at the university, I was among the best of the students. I was the brains, one of the brains. When I look to what our family are going through, they have disintegrated the families. I know I'm unable to see my parents. And sooner or later, they are going to pass away, and I'm suffering from being far away from my mom.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Tell us about how it has affected your relationships with women and your sex life, and what happened to you in that regard.
A. I didn't want to bring -- it's too harsh for me to bring it up and explain. My genital was burned by a cigarette lighter. Every once in a while, because of the scar and the injuries, blood comes out of it. And whenever $I$ use shampoo, it gets wounded. Everything had been taken away from me.

I answered Mr. Larry's question.
Q. And are there any other forms of torture that you haven't described yet that were performed on you and Akbar?
A. There were so many tortures. Which one of them do you want me to explain?
Q. Go through them all.
A. They were laying us on a bed, tying our hands with special cables, and also they were tying our legs, tying us face down, and they started beating us with cable, beginning -- beginning with our feet and beating us with a cable our entire body. It was in such a case that we could neither stand on our feet nor lay down. This was one kind of torture, and this was being repeated every day.

The other kind of torture was being called weighing type. A rope was in the ceiling, hanging from the ceiling, and tying our hands in the back, and then pulling us up to the ceiling and then bringing us down and pulling us back up again. You would feel that your both arms are being separated from your body.

The other kind of torture was laying you in a coffin, taking you into a very dark room. And it was so dark that you wouldn't see anything, just darkness. The drops of cold, so cold water was being dropped on you, your head and your body. You would feel that you are dead. Since you were in a coffin, you are feeling that you're dead. And for hours, the water was dropping on you. This was one of the kinds of torture methods.

The other methods were with boots that were -- nails on them. They would beat you up, they would kick you on your legs.

The other kind of torture was that you had to stand on one leg for hours and you -- they would tell you prior to this kind of a torture, if you put your other foot down, we would lay you down and we would jump on your body. So standing on one foot for hours, we would lose the resistance. So then they
would lay us down --
THE INTERPRETER: I'm just trying to --
THE WITNESS: -- on your back. Lay back,
lay down on your back, and then they would jump on you and kick you on both sides of your body, ribs, so you would become unconscious. Most of us, we would become unconscious.

And the other type was cross type of
torture. They would -- they would tie us up to a cross, nude, and then they would tie you up to a cross and then they would pour cold water on your body.

The other kind, the other method was in the solitary cells, there was a lock. There was a chain connected to the lock. They would crush your both arms and legs and then tie it up to the chain when you were bending with your knees. They were playing with us with their knees and kicking us with their knees, and they were laughing and they were telling us that Mohammadi has to dance, and they were just ridiculing us. At the time when both hands were tied to a chain, how could I move?

And I mentioned all kinds of methods of torture. Punching on my face. Nine of my teeth were broken. Then when $I$-- when $I$ was released from jail, I pulled those nine teeth. I can show you that my
gums have gone backwards and the roots, and my upper gum and lower gum on my face. It's quite obvious and clear. When my teeth were broken, I had to pull them. Now I can show you, display (indicating).

THE COURT: Let the record reflect that the witness was showing the Court his teeth and gums.

THE WITNESS: Solitary confinement. They were taking us to solitary confinement. The windows were broken. In the winter, when it was windy and stormy and rainy, rain was coming inside. In that cell, there was only -- we were being given only one blanket. The blanket was both your mattress, your pillow, and also your cover.

Then, because of the severe cold, you would become unconscious and you couldn't move. Would take you to the clinic or the -- it's clinic. In jail, it's called a clinic.

Then they would take you back to that cell. And this method was being repeated constantly. Especially when it was a stormy and rainy evening, we were, you know, suffering because -- because the windows were broken and the window -- the windows were broken and the rain was coming inside.

They would break the windows intentionally so that to make us suffer.

THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, do you have another question?

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Why is it that Akbar was killed and you were not?
A. The reason that Akbar was killed, because of his resistance. All the executions and killings are being instructed by the Supreme Leader. It comes directly from the leadership.

Secondly, it comes from the President. Then the MOI, Minister of Intelligence, is the executioner of both the President and also the Supreme Leader's instructions.

He was resisting in the jail. He took the resistance from out of the jail to inside the jail and, therefore, he went on hunger strike. And when you come to a deadlock and you don't have any other ways to resist, so you go on hunger strike. Since you find no legal or way to defend, that's how you go on hunger strike.

When he was -- when he was on hunger strike, he was forcibly taken to the clinic. When they take you to the clinic, they have to inject you with IV, but instead of $I V$, his both legs were tied to a chain.

When they tie you up to a chain, you become bent. And once -- one end of the chain was tied to the bed, and then with baton and punches, he was beaten up so much that his body, his entire body was bleeding and also as well as his ears.

Okay. They -- his ears were plugged by cotton to prevent bleeding. Then five days, he was under hunger -- he was on hunger strike in his cell and three days in the clinic. After three days, suspicious people come to the clinic. They tell among each other that Hajagha -- --

THE INTERPRETER: H-A-J-A-G-H-A. That's a type -- it's a title. It's not a name. It's a title, just like "sir," "lord."

THE WITNESS: -- finish it up. Finish up the business.

THE INTERPRETER: Let me explain. "Finish up the business" means kill them. Definition.

THE WITNESS: We don't have to stay longer in the clinic, hajagha, since they had a reason to implement their plan. Now I'm going to tell what the plan was.

Akbar mentioned it. They left, those people. Those suspicious people left, and a few soldiers entered the clinic. They put Akbar on a
gurney. His -- he didn't have any movement. He couldn't move.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Mohammadi, were you there seeing this?

THE WITNESS: I'm going to tell you why -how it happened. I have to explain to you, and it will come to an answer.

When they carried Akbar on a gurney, his body didn't have any movement since it was all bloody, unconscious. Only his eyes were moving and his lips were moving. His voice was barely coming out, was hearable. Then the authorities of the jail told the soldiers, take him to the prison ward and jail so that he would howl like a dog and die.

Then $I$-- the political prisoners -- then the political prisoners surround Akbar. There is a special cell which was allocated for the political prisoners. This was done because -- to frighten the political prisoners, to be a lesson for them so that it would stop their political activities inside the jail.

Then when the cotton were taken off of Akbar's ears, removed the cotton, and his body was bleeding. You know, his body started bleeding. It started bleeding.

After forty minutes, took a deep breath, and the other political prisoners lift the gurney. Then they wanted -- when they wanted to take him to the clinic again to see what has happened to him, he took a second deeper breath and dies.

There is two questions here. Number one, question number one, before $I$ respond, I have another answer.

When Akbar -- when twelve people come to -when Akbar was surrounded by other political prisoners, he explain what went on in the jail's clinic. He asked them to bring their ears to his lips so that he could -- so that they could hear him. He told them that how they handcuff me and tied my legs, and the evening that those hajis came to my cell, they said there's a probable -- it's probably those hajis sprayed a kind of dust to destroy me since $I$ wouldn't be destroyed or die under torture. Since $I$ was resisting and $I$ am physically strong, this was the plan to destroy me, and they were suspicious that evening.

Consequently, $I$ think that that dust or spray was the type that would cause you a heart attack. After forty minutes, took the first deep breath, and then he was over the hands of the other
political prisoners, then takes the second deep breath and then dies.

All of the things that he had told the other political prisoners came to be true.

Then I want to tell you something. In a case that Akbar wouldn't die under torture or with that dust that was sprayed, my question is that -- do they have to take you to a clinic or somewhere else to treat you?

So I come to the conclusion that leader -Supreme Leader is a murderer. In a way, the regime is responsible for his death. They were not holding -they were not being responsible -- you know, holding themselves. Today, the clinics and the hospitals, the jail in Iran are murder chambers of political prisoners. Akbar is one of the -- among the -- one of the thousands of political prisoners who were killed in the regime.

Since Akbar was a well-known guy, there was noise. He got attention. There are people who are unknown and are being killed, but nobody knows who they are, just the people of Iran. 200,000 people have been executed and killed this way.

THE COURT: Mr. Klayman --
MR. KLAYMAN: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: 200,000.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Mr. Mohammadi, what happened to Akbar's body when he was killed?
A. The authorities of Mazanderan, M-A-Z-A-N-D-E-R-I-A-N [sic]-- the province of Mazanderan, his state, his home state -- the authorities of Mazanderan, they dug a grave for him. Then it was supposed that we had -- we were -- it was supposed that we would bury him somewhere. But they tell us that, take him to Amol and bury him, but they went to a village and dug a grave. Since they have -since they were in possession of the body, they took him to that village.

And then my parents were called: Come to the village. We have dug a grave and we want to bury your son. While the people had gone to the cemetery of the city, the small group of the people with my parents in the village saw Akbar for the last time. Then they would bury him themselves. The regime buries him.

For months, the elements of the regime would guard so that -- so nobody would steal the buried body. This is very important --

## Q. Okay.

A. -- since my parents had said several times that his body must be autopsied, his body must be autopsied. But the Islamic body did not issue a permission or did not allow. But I have to tell how Akbar was killed in prison.

Twenty-seven people -- twenty-seven
political prisoners, of the political prisoners who were with Akbar wrote a letter how Akbar was killed. It has been translated into English and Mr. Larry has it. This is the greatest witness and the best witnesses that exist.
Q. I'm going to now show the video of Akbar's body, and $I$ want you to explain the circumstance of that body being displayed.

THE COURT: How long is this video,
Mr. Klayman?
MR. KLAYMAN: Not very long, Your Honor.
THE COURT: "Not very long" means less than five minutes?

MR. KLAYMAN: About five. We have two, but we'll just show one right now.

Does Your Honor want to take a break? THE COURT: No.

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I have to explain this, of
course. The video that --
MR. KLAYMAN: At least ten minutes.
THE WITNESS: -- that's going to be displayed, the one -- the person who is crying over the body is me, because the world pressure caused that I was released from jail to go to Akbar's grave, but we used the opportunity, dug Akbar's grave.

Then we did not know that there were -- they were eavesdropping and hearing devices there that the Ministry of Intelligence people had planted there. And they wanted to find out and observe the condition of Akbar and prevent us from stealing the body.

They were afraid that we were going to take his body and take it out of the country discreetly for autopsy. But since there were hearing devices over there, the MOI Department of Amol called my father, that Manouchehr and some kids had dug the grave. I mean, what kind of plan do they have?

And my father has asked them, how do they know -- how do you know?

He was responded that we have hearing devices installed. Then my father called me on my mobile and asked me, are you at the graveyard -- at the grave site of Akbar? I answered him yes. Why did you dig the grave? And the MOI, Ministry of

Intelligence in Amol, asking us why Manouchehr has dug the grave.

Since I was missing Akbar and haven't seen his body, I wanted to see and inspect his body. The moment that $I$ became unconscious -- and when -- when we inspected -- I inspected the body, I noticed that there was so much powder poured into his body that if we wanted to take it out, it would disintegrate.

MR. KLAYMAN: Let me play the video.
THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, before you play the video. Let's follow proper procedure. I need to know who took the video. I need it authenticated, when it is, where it is. I -- I still don't know what it is.

I need to know who made the video, how he knows this is the video. And it needs to be marked as an exhibit at the trial.

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 1, Your Honor. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 .
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Can you please tell us who made the video and when it was taken.
A. Our friends with their mobiles.

THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, your client is
wishing to speak to you. So why don't you speak to your client.

MS. MOHAMMADI: Your Honor, I --
THE COURT: Don't speak to me. Speak to your lawyer.
(Discussion held off the record.)
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Did a cousin of yours take the video?

THE INTERPRETER: Excuse me?
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Did a cousin of yours take the video?

MS. MOHAMMADI: [In Farsi].
Yes.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Did he take it with a cell phone?
A. Yes.
Q. When was it taken?
A. Exactly three days after -- three or four days after the burial. I don't know exactly how many days. Three or four days, $I$ think. I don't know exactly.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Three or four days after what?

THE INTERPRETER: Burial.
THE COURT: Three or four days after the
burial. And how long -- how long after Akbar's death did the burial occur?

THE WITNESS: My parents were in Turkey. Two to three days after my parents arrived. Two to three days.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Okay. So the video was taken how long after Akbar died?

THE INTERPRETER: He says, "I don't know when he was buried."

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Generally speaking.
A. I was in jail.
Q. Days. Days.
A. I don't know exactly. I don't know exactly when he was buried. Was three or four days. I don't know exactly.

THE COURT: And what year was it, if you don't know the exact date?

THE WITNESS: Seven years ago.
Approximately seven years ago. Between seven -between seven to eight years ago.

THE COURT: Is there any marking on the videotape that indicates the date that it was taken?

THE WITNESS: It -- I can -- it's not in my
memory, but it's over in the documents. I don't know the dates.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Is there any marking --
A. Seven years ago [in English].
Q. Is there any marking in the video as to when it was taken?
A. Yes, it does have a marking. The video has a marking.
Q. Okay.

MR. KLAYMAN: Shall I play it, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Can you look -- is the video -is the marking of the date on the display of the videotape, or is it outside the videotape?

THE WITNESS: I only saw one time. Ever since, $I$ cannot look at it, you know. I cannot.

THE COURT: Mr. Klayman --
THE WITNESS: I cannot look at it. I don't know.

THE COURT: -- you looked at the videotape.
Does it have a marking on the images?
MR. KLAYMAN: I don't recollect, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And on the outside of the videotape, does it have an indication?

MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, this is taken off
of YouTube. It's on YouTube, the video.
THE COURT: I see. Okay.
MR. KLAYMAN: But Miss Mohammadi can also
testify to this. She has more exact knowledge.
THE COURT: All right. Okay. Proceed.
(Whereupon, the video was played.)
THE COURT: And who is present?
Was Mr. Mohammadi present during this? Who
was present?
Stop this.
MR. KLAYMAN: He was and the cousin.
THE COURT: It looks like a lot of footage.
Could you stop the playing of this.
I would like it authenticated first. So now we know that it's a vague date about seven years ago. This witness was there. His cousin was making the videotape on the cell phone. We see lots of other people around.

Who were all -- what is the context for this?

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Who was present when this video --

MR. KLAYMAN: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I don't mean to interrupt.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Who was present when the video was taken?
A. We were eight to ten people. We went and dug the grave. We were -- our intention was to steal the body and we -- when we noticed that there were so much dust displayed on the body, and we thought that he would disintegrate and fall apart. My cousin asked me to come and see Akbar for the last time.

And $I$ said that since $I$ haven't seen the body, okay, I will. That moment, all the young people have mobile. My cousin videoed it with the mobile.

THE INTERPRETER: "Mobile" is a cell phone.
THE WITNESS: I don't know who exactly
videoed it, but $I$ knew that someone among those people, among the kids, since $I$ was so unconscious and crying, I did not know where $I$ was. You can see me crying.

MR. KLAYMAN: Is that sufficient, Your
Honor?
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
MR. KLAYMAN: He can identify that these events occurred.

THE COURT: All right. Proceed.
MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the video was played.)
MR. KLAYMAN: This is another video, Your

Honor. I can stop and ask questions.
THE COURT: Stop it, please.
What is the next video you're going to be playing, Mr. Klayman?

MR. KLAYMAN: The burial of Mr. Akbar. The burial.

MS. MOHAMMADI: Yes. The regime tortured him, showing the body. There's like a bone under the skin. It's not like my brother. My mother, you know, hardly recognized him. It's really --

THE COURT: All right. So just a second.
So the first we saw, with a group of men who went at some date about seven years ago after he was buried, that was Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 .

MR. KLAYMAN: Correct. Right.
THE COURT: Is this part of the same videotape or a separate videotape?

MR. KLAYMAN: It's a second video.
THE COURT: Okay. So you have to establish what this is, what it is we're looking at and what the exhibit number is.

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Let's just go back. The video you just saw was taken just a few days after Akbar was killed,
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that is a picture of you in the video crying over Akbar's body?

THE COURT: You have to speak,
Mr. Mohammadi.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. And you were able to recognize this scene in
this video as having taken place with you there and your cousin filming it?
A. Exactly, yes. Yes, of me.
Q. Were you present on any other occasion when a video was made of Akbar's body?
A. No. That's the only one.

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. We'll introduce the other one with Miss Mohammadi.

THE COURT: That would be appropriate.
MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. How is it that you came to be present a few days after Akbar was killed? How is it that you came to be out of the prison?
A. I was in jail. Then the Farsi media pressure incurred on -- also the newspapers inside

Iran were so-called the reformists and critical of the regime; Amnesty International, the Human Rights Watch, then European Union; also the State Department of the United States officially brought up my name that Manouchehr is sick or -- and have to be given a few days release time so that he can go and cure himself.

And the regime was under pressure, under one condition agreed to release me from jail to participate in the burial service of my brother under the condition that when $I$ was released, $I$ wouldn't give any interviews to the media, no interview being given to any media. Any radio and TV call me, I shouldn't answer them. I accepted.

But when I was released, I heard that my brother had been buried a few days ago.

Then we decided to steal his body, three very friendly friends, one of them my uncle.
Q. We testified to this already. I believe we testified --

THE COURT: So what is your next question?
MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. What happened to you after you saw the body? What -- where did you go?
A. I didn't go anywhere. MOI, Ministry of

Intelligence, was informed and wanted to take me to jail; we want to know why you dug the grave. They wanted -- since $I$ was missing my brother, I did unearth the grave. I didn't tell them that we had intention of stealing the body and taking it out of the country. Then they accepted.

Since then, a few days later, I escaped, since they intended to take me back to jail. But I had explained -- I explained to you how -- why the Democratic Party, Kurdish Democratic Party of Iran, based in Iraq, took me out of the country.

THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, you --
THE WITNESS: I testified about that.
THE COURT: -- ask your next question.
MR. KLAYMAN: All right.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. When you were -- before you were arrested in Iran, did you own certain property of a bakery or something like that?
A. Yes. Family type bakery.
Q. Yeah. What did the bakery do?
A. We were managing it. It was the largest productive bakery in the city, and we would cover all the stores. And I was a teacher as well -- I was a student as well.
Q. Yesterday, I asked you to calculate what economic -- what economic damage you have suffered as a result of what happened to you, as caused by the regime.
A. I suffered the most psychologically.
Q. What do you --
A. They set our house on fire.
Q. Yes. We've been through this. But I want to know what you have lost in terms of money from the point that you were arrested to today.
A. Too much. If I was -- today, I was in Iran, it was the most productive plant and covering all the stores. We would -- my share, calculating it based on dollars, it would be $\$ 10$ million from the bakery since we were covering all the city stores, the bakeries, our product.

THE COURT: Was this a family-owned bakery?
THE WITNESS: Yes. It was family-owned bakery.

THE COURT: So did your parents own it?
THE WITNESS: Yes, but we were all together.
Together [in English].
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Did you have an ownership share as well?

Did you have an ownership share as well?
A. Yes, I have ownership share.
Q. And that was worth $\$ 10$ million?
A. Until now, yes, \$10 million from being as an instructor, from that aspect, since then they fired me from that position as a teacher.
Q. Okay. Are you - -
A. $\quad 10$ million.
Q. So your ownership share was worth $\$ 10$ million?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, you need to establish how long the bakery has been in existence, what its annual revenue was, how many people owned a share of the bakery. I really don't have a -- where does he come up with $\$ 10$ million? Is that $\$ 10$ million his share of the revenue over the past ten years? I'm not understanding where he gets the $\$ 10$ million figure. You just have to establish that.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Can you address the Judge's question?
A. About sixteen years ago, from then onward. THE COURT: Let me just ask the question. How long has the bakery been in existence? THE INTERPRETER: I'm asking, he says. THE WITNESS: Five, six years prior to being
arrested.
THE INTERPRETER: I'm asking him when was that? The year. Give me a year. And he says --

THE WITNESS: -- fifteen years ago.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. And was the bakery established by members of your family?

THE INTERPRETER: I asked him, give me a time and a date exactly.

THE WITNESS: It was established in 1999. The bakery was established in 1999.

THE COURT: And who established the bakery?
THE INTERPRETER: Pardon me?
THE COURT: Who established the bakery?
THE WITNESS: It was established by the family, parents, Akbar, and myself. But the main role -- everybody was a participant, but the main role, we had the main role. Myself and Akbar had the main role.

THE COURT: And what was the revenue of the bakery on an annual basis?

THE WITNESS: Sometimes the revenue was one million toman per day.

THE INTERPRETER: I have to calculate it.
MR. KLAYMAN: Spell "toman."

THE INTERPRETER: Toman is right now every dollar is $35--3,500$ tomans now, right now, today. A few months ago, it was 4,000 tomans per dollar.

THE COURT: All right.

THE INTERPRETER: In 1979, prior to 1979, every dollar was worth 7 tomans.

THE COURT: All right. That's okay.
So starting in 1999, the bakery made one million toman per day?

THE INTERPRETER: Occasionally. That was the revenue, yes. Occasionally.

MR. KLAYMAN: "Occasionally" mean generally?
Ask him the question.
THE INTERPRETER: Yes.

THE WITNESS: We were God in those days.

THE INTERPRETER: This is not the answer.

THE COURT: All right. Let's move on.

THE WITNESS: The high time and the low
time --

MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, I can supplement the affidavits with this.

THE WITNESS: One million toman.

THE COURT: Okay. You're going to damages, and just saying, owned a bakery and worth \$10 million and that's how much $I$ lost, it really doesn't give me
a foundation that's satisfactory to justify that as an economic damage, so you're welcome to try and supplement that.

Let's move on.
MR. KLAYMAN: Just so, Your Honor, I'm sure you're aware, because of his emotional state, it's hard for him to focus. We have to sit down with him on that. You ask one question, and it's going in one ear --

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Are you currently employed?
A. No.
Q. If you had been living in Iran and nothing had happened --
A. I was a most successful person.
Q. Yeah. How much -- if you were still back in Iran and you hadn't been a political activist and you hadn't been tortured and your brother hadn't been killed and parents persecuted, just living in Iran, based on your expertise, how much would you have been making per year?
A. Look, at that time, I had three sets of buildings that you would be calculating, based on dollar value, would be 7-, $\$ 800,000$.
Q. A year?
A. No. Those three buildings that they had would be worth 7- to $\$ 800,000$-- I'm sorry -- 7- to $\$ 800$ million -- 7- to $\$ 800,000$. The three houses that I owned. Therefore -- or, consequently, with the income that $I$ had, $I$ would buy more houses. The value of the houses in the United States compared with the houses in Iran have no difference. It's the same price, since $I$ always had in mind to invest and buy more houses.
Q. Okay. How much money would you be earning in Iran today if all these events hadn't happened, given your background?
A. I think to now, minimum, would own twenty sets of houses. Each building would cost $\$ 700,000$, and now you calculate what the income be in the houses.

THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, what other areas do you have for this witness?

MR. KLAYMAN: Get into his medical condition and what happened after he became a permanent resident.

THE COURT: All right. Let's move on.
MR. KLAYMAN: We'll be able to wrap it up pretty quickly after lunch, if you wanted to break now or --

THE COURT: No. I usually break at 1:00. MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Tell us -- since you have become a permanent resident, describe for us the acts of harassment and continued threats.
A. It still continues.
Q. Tell us specifically what continues.
A. They threaten. They threaten me to death. Before they take my parents as a hostage, they were threatening us that we were outside the country, and they were calling us from inside Iran, telling us that -- don't think that you don't have anybody. We can kill you right where you are, the same method that we killed your brother.

THE COURT: Mr. Mohammadi, when is the last time you received such a phone call?

THE WITNESS: I think it was seventeen to eighteen days ago. Since, when we call, our phone back in Iran is being tapped, and when we -- based on the conditions, our mom is -- the phones are under control. Purposely, I said on the phone to my mom, if some day you pass away, you have to see me twenty-four -- around the clock, you know, give interviews to the media in the U.S. about your
situation.
When $I$ said this, two days later, I was
called. I was told that, well, don't make a mistake, think about your parents. Then $I$-- we can destroy you right where you are, so don't think that you are in the U.S. -- in the U.S., we can destroy you better than any other country in the world.

And I get threats or receive threats from inside the United States as well. E-mail has basically been hacked.

THE COURT: Let me just -- Mr. Mohammadi, so this phone call that you received seventeen days ago where somebody on the other line made that statement, were you able to see the origination of the call from caller ID?

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: Do you have caller ID on your phone?

THE INTERPRETER: He doesn't know what caller ID is. I'm telling him.

THE COURT: I see.
So for the phone call that you received, did you -- where did you understand that phone call was originating from.

THE INTERPRETER: Now he knows what caller

ID is. Okay.
The numbers that appears on the caller ID, he can recognize through that that the call comes from Iran.

THE COURT: I see. Okay.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Were these threats consistent with the types of threats you were getting in prison, similar threats?
A. Yes, similar.

THE COURT: And how frequently do you receive the phone call from -- originating from Iran with similar kinds of threats?

THE WITNESS: Since the cards are recognizable, sometimes -- okay. There are telephone cards that you can use here and over there. Through the cards, the telephone cards that my parents use, they use the same thing.

But basically, they identified themselves and tell me who they are, and they basically tell me that they are calling from MOI, Ministry of Intelligence. They announce. They have nothing to hide.

THE COURT: And how frequently has he received phone calls from MOI since January of this
year?
THE WITNESS: I think I have two -- three or four calls since.

THE COURT: In the last three months?
THE WITNESS: In the last three months, yes.
THE COURT: All right.
Proceed, Mr. Klayman.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Before the last three months, were you also receiving these calls?
A. Many.
Q. What was the frequency?
A. The time that we were giving interviews, it was frequent. But since my mom, we are not giving interviews now, so they have subsided. The phone calls are not frequent and have subsided.
Q. Not as frequent?

THE INTERPRETER: Huh?
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. They are not as frequent?

THE COURT: Would you like, Mr. Klayman, to repeat the question?

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.
THE INTERPRETER: I have to explain to him. He answers something differently. He doesn't get the
question. I'm trying to explain to him the question. THE WITNESS: No, they are not as frequent as before.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. But these calls that you've described for the Judge in the last three months, is it your reasoned opinion that the calls now are picking up again because of this case going to trial?

Do you have reason to believe it's because this case goes to trial?

THE INTERPRETER: Well, he says that since he's not giving interviews, the phone calls are not as frequent and have reduced, subsided.

THE WITNESS: If this Court -- actually, the press announces or writes reports about this Court, then the threats will be more frequent and we'll receive more phone calls.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Klayman, you want to proceed?

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Can you tell us what effect what happened to you and Akbar had on your sister Nasrin, based on your personal observation?

THE COURT: Isn't Miss Nasrin going to be testifying?

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes. I just thought you might want some corroboration here.

THE COURT: All right.
THE WITNESS: They all suffered. Nasrin, Simin, they all have suffered. It was a grieving place. Our house was a grieving place. The atmosphere was very bad. And always my parents were spending times in the hospital since the Islamic Republic's television were showing myself and Akbar all the time. Mostly, they were showing me because they were seeing us, and they wanted to find out whether we were going to be executed or not.

The television were saying that since these are the elements, the agents of the CIA, consequently on $T V$, they were saying that they were -- the Islamic Republic of Iran's TV, saying that -- stating that we are pagans, so, consequently, we have to be executed.

MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, we'll pick
anything up in a supplemental affidavit. We can stop now, if that's okay.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. KLAYMAN: I'll call Miss Nasrin
Mohammadi to the stand.
THE COURT: Mr. Mohammadi, before you leave the stand, how are you supporting yourself in the

United States?
THE WITNESS: I owe 200- to $\$ 300,000$. I cannot -- I don't know English. I can't find a job, and I owe a lot. You won't believe it, when $I$ was coming here, my brother got me the airplane ticket, the one who lives in Fresno. I didn't go to a hotel -- hostel. I'm paying $\$ 46$ per night. If I stay in the hostel, I don't have -- I can't pay $\$ 46$.

I had in mind to go to a friend's house. I'm suffering from financial pains. We have credits. The people help us, but we don't want to borrow since we thinking that we're abusing them. We don't want them to think that. I'm shying.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You are excused, Mr. Mohammadi.

MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you.
We now call Nasrin Mohammadi to the stand.
THE COURT: You may leave the witness stand.
Your sister is going to come up.
THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you for your patience, Your Honor.

THE INTERPRETER: She speaks good English.
THE COURT: And you can take a break.
Miss Mohammadi, could you stand and raise
your right hand.
NASRIN MOHAMMADI,
a witness produced on call of the plaintiff, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Please state your name.
A. Nasrin Mohammadi.
Q. How do you spell "Nasrin"?
A. N-A-S-R-I-N, Nasrin. Last name, Mohammadi, $M-O-H-A-M-M-A-D-I$.
Q. When were you born?
A. $04 / 27 / 74$.
Q. Where were you born?
A. In Iran, Amol, north of Iran, next to Caspian Sea.
Q. Describe for us your educational background.
A. When $I$ went in Iran -- I was in Iran, I got two bachelor's degrees, Farsi literature and English -- sorry -- and journalism. And I was -- at the same time, I was a reporter. I was working for magazine, economy magazine. At that time, my brothers were in the jail, and then when they understood I'm
from that family, after that, they fire me.
Q. How much money were you making each year in your profession at that time?
A. In that time?
Q. Yes.
A. I remember in toman, 500,000 toman, something like that.
Q. How much would that be in American dollars, generally speaking?
A. In -- if comparing that time, $\$ 500$.
Q. Yes. At that time.
A. Not this time. I don't know.
Q. At that time?
A. Yeah. $\$ 500$ per month.
Q. $\quad \$ 500$ per month?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you have other sources of income at that time?
A. My brothers. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Describe for us what you have personal knowledge of in terms of what happened to your brothers, Manouchehr and Akbar.

THE COURT: Well, first, could we just
establish when you came to the United States?
MR. KLAYMAN: Sure.

THE COURT: Could we establish some of the jurisdictional facts first?

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
THE WITNESS: When did I come to the United States?

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. When did you come to the United States?
A. I think in 2004 .
Q. And how is it that you came to the united States? How did you get here?
A. I was in Germany. From Germany, I got visa as a reporter, and $I$ came to the United States. And
when I came to here, first I became permanent resident, green card, and then became citizen.

THE COURT: And when did you become a citizen?

THE WITNESS: About three years ago.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Why is it that you found yourself in Germany before you came to the United States?
A. You know, I was a reporter in Iran, and then the consul from Germany, he help me. The President give me visa, and he helped me. I got a visa and I - you know, I kind of like came from Iran, because I want to be voice of all student, you know, political
activists in prison, and $I$ didn't want to be arrested in prison. That is an escape.

And then, when $I$ come to Germany, $I$ was always, you know, as a political activist and human rights activist. I went to many countries in Europe and I have many speech over there. And $I$ was one time -- one agent, he tried -- I gave you, from Amnesty International. One time, agent from regime, he tried to kill me by medicine.

Mr. Larry Klayman has it. Amnesty
International read about that -- read about me, what he wrote about me, and he has --

THE COURT: If you could just slow down.
So a member of the Iranian regime tried to kill you?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: And when was that?
THE WITNESS: I think 2001 -- sorry -- 2002,
2003. I don't remember something, the date, but in that letter, Amnesty International wrote the date. Everything was there, because --

THE COURT: Where did that occur? When you were in Germany?

THE WITNESS: I was in Germany, and $I$ was a political -- you know, I got the political -- the
number sixteen, the highest, you know, the political, they give the people. I don't know the name. Sixteen, as a political, you know, refugee. I got it. THE COURT: Political asylum for being a political --

THE WITNESS: Yes, they gave me. But before, the regime tried to kill me. I was still activist. I was having many speeches. I went to Brussels, to -- I was seeing Amnesty International. I had a hunger strike in London. And I had many student, you know, rally. And $I$ mean, $I$ was so active. I was seeing many senators in Europe.

And the regime told one day -- one time my mom called me. Her voice -- her voice was shaking, and she told me, Nasrin, be careful, because the regime -- agent of regime told us, and they were going to kill you. Nasrin, we are worried for you.

I told them, don't worry, this is Germany. I'm not in Iran. I'm safe.

And then after months, my parents -- my mom and my parents called me again, and they were so worried and told me again, Attorney General of the regime came to their house and told them, tell Nasrin, shut up; otherwise, we are going to shut her mouth up. We're going to kill her. We are not joking. We are
so serious about that.
I don't know. I was coughing a lot, you know, because of stress. I was okay, because of stress caused me to cough a lot.

I'm sorry. I'm a little bit excited.
I went to -- when $I$ went to London, I had a hunger strike because -- defend my brother and all prisoners. I have a picture. I have everything that you want. I have everything.

Five days hunger strike, next to Amnesty International in London, Mr. Drewery Dyke. He's a member -- he's working the Iranian desk.

THE COURT: How do you spell his name?
THE WITNESS: $D-R-E-W-E-R-Y$, and then last name, $I$ think, $D-Y-K-E$. And he knows about this.

When I came to Germany, after two weeks, middle age guy, about sixty-five, something like that, he came to me: Nasrin, I'm -- he was a medical doctor. And he said, $I$ have some medicine for you. And I trust him. You know, I was suffering from cough. I couldn't talk. I couldn't sleep. And then he said, I'm going to give you this medicine. Tonight, twenty drops you taking, and one pill.

I took the drops, twenty drops, and I took the pill. Finally, I slept, you know. After ten
minutes, I felt asleep. When $I$ woke up in the morning, I want to take a shower and I saw my face in the mirror. Oh, my God, I couldn't believe it. It wasn't my face. I look red. It was like not normal.

And I called my friend, close friend, and he took me, you know, to my medical doctor. And I showed my doctor my medicine. And he said, who gave you this medicine? I said a friend. He gave me this medicine to help me.

He said, I look internal. The reason -- you cannot find in any pharmacy this medicine. We have in the hospital some special patient, just one or two drops you are giving them. Each drop is -- the dose of each drop is stronger than morphine. God help you. And I don't know how you are alive. Nasrin, you are lucky because you are alive today.

And he gave me several tests, blood tests and everything. The doctor wanted to make sure $I$ don't have any problem, kidney problem or heart problem because $I$ took the pills.

But I was okay. I was healthy, just thanks God. I think God helped me. And that was -- he said you should, you know, sue that guy. He tried to kill you.

THE COURT: How did you meet the fellow who
gave this to you?
THE WITNESS: The guy? The guy, because many Iranian people, they know me and my family before I come to Los Angeles. They know me. When I went to -- $I$ was in Germany, many family, they came to me and they told me, ah, we are like your father; we are, again, your mother. You are like our daughter. And then they invited me to, you know, their house. I trust them. I was so naive, you know, when $I$ came from Iran to Germany. I was accepting them. You know, I trust them. I trust this guy.

And he had -- he was married with a lady from Germany. His wife was from Germany, you know, but not Persian. And $I$ trust him. He sometimes invited me, and his wife was so nice to me. And I took those pills. And $I$ don't know -- thanks God.

I have a video I gave to Mr. Larry Klayman, and he watched that video. My mom, dad, they're talking, and they're talking, you know, Nasrin's life is in danger in Germany, because regime said that, you know, we are going to kill her.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Who took that video?
A. Two people, they took that video. When $I$ came to the United States, I didn't know English, and
then $I$ gave my friend -- he's in Canada -- you know, he translated to English with another young lady.
Q. If I show you that video, can you identify your parents from that video?
A. I give to you, yes. You have it.
Q. Yes. If $I$ show this to the Court, would you be able to identify your parents?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know when the video was taken?
A. The video?
Q. Yes.
A. The video, you know, my parents -- the day, about, I think -- I don't remember the date. But my parents, they want you -- they ask the people in the world to hear their voice, and they ask the human rights -- human rights, and then the people, you know, hearing their voice and to help their children because they are talking about Manouchehr and Akbar. They're kind of -- you know, many -- a lot of torture the regime did to them. And they were crying and they talk about Manouchehr and Akbar and the different jail in Iran.

When my parents met them, you know, they were so sick, and that they saw that sign of torture in their body. And my -- the video, I think
forty-five minutes. If you watch them on video, you can understand a lot. You can -- there are many detail in this video.

MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, it was part of Exhibit 1, but the part that was authenticated earlier was just the first video that you saw. But on the same disk is the second video. So to try to streamline things, if Your Honor would prefer, I don't need to necessarily show it.

THE COURT: I'm not going to watch a forty-five-minute video.

MR. KLAYMAN: Right.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. KLAYMAN: We can have the translator --
THE COURT: Particularly if it's not
translated. You can prepare a transcript of it in English --

THE WITNESS: It's in English.
THE COURT: -- for the Court's review.
THE WITNESS: It's in English. Didn't you watch it? It's in English.

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. But it will have to be done by a translator.

I'm going to show you what I'll ask the court reporter to mark as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2.

THE COURT: You can give it to my courtroom deputy and she'll hand it up to me.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 2 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Looking at Exhibit 2 - -

THE COURT: This is not marked. Would you mark this, Mr. Klayman, with an exhibit sticker.

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And please make sure that the videotape is actually marked with a sticker.

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Now you're ready to proceed.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. What is Exhibit 2, Miss Mohammadi?
A. That page --
Q. What is Exhibit 2? Describe what it is.
A. Should I explain about the --
Q. No. Is this a report that was prepared by Amnesty International?
A. Yes, Amnesty International.
Q. When did this report get prepared?
A. When $I$ went to Germany, I think around ten years ago. Ten years ago.
Q. Are you familiar with what's in the report?
A. Yes. I read before.
Q. Is it accurate?
A. She wrote about my work and she mentioned about my -- you know, the agent of regime tried to kill me.
Q. What I'm asking you: Is what was recorded here recorded accurately?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. "Yes"?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything in here which is incorrect?
A. No, it is not.
Q. Okay.

MR. KLAYMAN: I would move this into evidence.

THE COURT: It will be admitted. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 2, previously marked for identification, was received in evidence.)

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Could you describe for me what happened, your observations, your experience with what happened
to Manouchehr and Akbar and where you were at the time that these things occurred.
A. It's a long story. I don't know. I'm so speechless. From Iran explain to you, or from when $I$ left Iran?
Q. Let's talk about when you were in Iran.
A. Okay.
Q. When were you in Iran?
A. When I went to Iran, you know, first time when $I$ was watching $T V$, the anchor, anchor person on the TV said -- talk, you know, about my brother, Akbar, Manouchehr, I was shocked. And then my parents, they were shocked. My sister Simin was shocked. My brother, oldest brother, Rahmat, he wasn't at home, and my sister Simin and my parents were at home. And then my parents and my mom, dad, they were shocked.

We don't know, what should $I$ do at that time. And they were crying. And then I called my oldest brother, Rahmat: Please, where are you? He was working. And they said hurry up, come on to home. He said, what happened? I told him, I need your help. Our brothers were arrested by the regime, we don't know what happened. We don't know if they are alive or not.

He came. My sister came to our home. When they came, $I$ don't know how can $I$ describe that night. It was like a nightmare, you know. Everybody was crying, and then whole night without a break until morning, $I{ }^{\prime} m$ crying.
Q. Miss Mohammadi, was this the event when Iranian television was announcing your brother's arrest? Is that what was being announced on television?
A. This -- yeah. They are from CIA Mossad -agent of CIA Mossad. We arrest them.

But a week or somewhere next after that, they wrote in some newspaper they were executed -some newspaper that said -- they wrote, we don't know what happened to them. Some of the newspaper wrote they are going to be, you know, executed. They are going to kill them. Regime going to kill them. Because newspaper, they were from regime, you know, the government.

And my parents and we were all shocked. We didn't know, what should we do. My mom, dad -- you know --

THE COURT: Before that --
THE WITNESS: -- tragedy.
THE COURT: Miss Mohammadi, before that
time --
Could you just move the microphone away from your mouth. Just move it away a little bit. It's echoing.

Miss Mohammadi, before you heard that television report, were you aware that your brothers had been involved in political activity?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Klayman, please proceed.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Okay. You mentioned Mossad.

It's spelled M-O-S-S-A-D.
A. Yes. From Israeli Mossad.
Q. Is that the Israeli intelligence service?
A. Yes.
Q. So your brothers were accused of being agents from Mossad?
A. Yes.
Q. And CIA?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: What is your family's religious affiliation?

THE WITNESS: Religious, they believe just God, you know. They are not religious, because they
were born Muslim, but they don't believe Islam, because the Islamic government killing. They don't have mercy. How could they believe Islam? They just believe God. They are not practicing.

THE COURT: I was just curious.
THE WITNESS: No. No. It's okay.
THE COURT: With the mention of Mossad, I
was wondering if you had a Jewish affiliation. I was curious about that.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, $I$ want to tell
you, because I am so sorry. I respect all religion, but when I -- you know, the hate, you know, Islam regime, I became Christian. I was baptized two years ago because I didn't want, you know, to tell me, you're born Muslim. I hate the Muslim, Iranian.

The answer became they change their
religion. They are not practicing. I am not practicing. I never practiced. My parents never practicing. But $I$ was baptized to be a Christian two years ago.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Proceed, Mr. Klayman.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. What is it that you're wearing?
A. This one (indicating)?
Q. Yes, that.
A. Zoroastrian.
Q. Yes. Is that a Zoroastrian --
A. This is from my late brother. He gave to me the sign of Zoroastrian, sign of good things, good behavior, good acts.
Q. Zoroastrian is a religion?
A. Yes. Old Iranian religion, yes.

MR. KLAYMAN: Just as an aside, it's an interesting story, but you don't want to hear it.

THE COURT: I don't.
MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. So what happened after your brothers were arrested that you actually have personal knowledge of?
A. You know, I'm going to shortly explain what happened.
Q. Yes.
A. You know, my mom, dad -- my mom always were sitting at our house, my parents' house. It's a townhouse. And she was sitting on the stair, and then -- and looking out the window and for months and months and months, from morning until evening. I have a picture of her, and many people told. And she was waiting for her children to come back, knock the door
and come back to home.
And then my mom, dad -- this is not a life.
After a while, my brothers -- you know, when I went to prison to see them, visit them behind the glasses -you know, the glasses, and they show me: "Nasrin, leave Iran." The piece of paper my late brother wrote: "Nasrin, leave Iran."

I left Iran. I came to Germany to be voice of my brother and all prisoners.
Q. When did you leave Iran?
A. When?
Q. Yes.
A. 2008 -- I think 2002 I left Iran. And then I came to Germany. When $I$ went to Germany, I was in Germany, and I wasn't -- because always, I was traveling as a -- what you call it -- activist, because I want to tell -- tell them what happened to my brothers and all prisoners, young student, you know, that went to jail, and tell them.

And I went to Brussels. I went to France. I went to many countries -- more countries in Europe. I met Amnesty International and senators in Europe, and I had many speeches.

And then finally, I left Germany where the regime tried to kill me. I left Germany and I came to
the United States.
But when $I$ came to here, still, until from that day until today, $I$ didn't stop, you know, as a -you know, be political activist and human rights. And I'm against the regime. I hope regime in Iran one day be changed.

And if you want to explain about my brother Akbar, when $I$ went to Turkey or anything, Your Honor --
Q. So you left Iran in what year?
A. When did I leave Iran?
Q. Yes. When did you leave?
A. I left Iran, I told you, 2002, I think.
Q. 2002 ?
A. Yeah, I think.
Q. Okay. At what point did you -- did it reach a point in time when you no longer felt Iranian, where your permanent allegiance was to the United States? Was there a point in time that that occurred?
A. The point in time when?
Q. When you felt allegiance to the United States.
A. Yes. Because, you know, that reason I left Germany, I came to here.
Q. Okay.

THE INTERPRETER: Let me translate.
THE WITNESS: Yes. Faithful, that means. I
love this country. I love -- I'm afraid for -- it was a long time. When $I$ went to Iran, I was faithful. I love American people. I love this country. I stay rest of my life to this country. I kiss this land. This is my land. Iran is not my country. I wasn't safe in Iran. Nobody is safe in Iran. I'm afraid for -- I'm a loyal this country. I swore -- I can vow again. I will, you know, for the rest of my life, I be faithful to this country.

In Iran, from Iran.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Can you describe your relationship with

Akbar before you left Iran.
A. Yes.
Q. Your personal relationship with your brother.
A. Yes. Can I ask you a favor? I want to explain, you know, what a faithful and loyal -- about the American people.

Akbar, Manouchehr -- forgot to tell you. In September --
Q. We'll get to that. Let's get to this first. A. It's so important.

MR. KLAYMAN: Can she, Your Honor?
THE COURT: I'm sorry. What?
MR. KLAYMAN: She wants to talk about the United States now.

THE COURT: You should answer the question.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Tell us what your relationship was with Akbar personally, your close relationship.
A. I was so close to my brother, late brother. He wasn't just, you know, freedom and human rights activist. He was a man of peace. He was really human. I'm proud of him. He was so close to me. And I tell you, I was his sister. I love him so much.

When I have got my brother -- when I went to Turkey, because $I$ cannot go to Iran, they're going to kill me. I went to Turkey to visit, you know, my parents. I went to Turkey. My sister at that time, she was in Turkey, Manouchehr went to jail. My brother -- late brother went to jail. I came to Turkey about -- I don't know -- seven years ago. Yeah, exactly seven years ago.

Three days, we had a good time with my parents. One day, a guy -- his name was Benham -- he call us: Akbar is going to be free. Leave -- the regime is going to give him sick leave. He's going to
be free in three days.
We had a party. We danced. We were so happy because Akbar was sick and, you know, we were so happy. Physically, he was sick, you know. I have a film about that, that night.

And the morning, day after that night, I think 7:30 a.m. or 8 a.m., my father's cell phone was ringing. My father go to answer the phone. Behnam from Iran. I know him. It was a student, but outside of jail. He told to pass the phone to your uncle, my brother -- told my father. And then my uncle took the phone. And suddenly, I understood. His face was pale, and he stepped so far from the living room. I follow him. I don't know. I knew Akbar was sick. Akbar was on hunger strike. Akbar is sick.

And then, $I$ don't know, my heart was beating so, you know, hard. And my body was shaking. I felt Akbar is so sick. I grabbed the phone and ran to a bedroom. I grabbed the phone from my uncle's hand and went to another bedroom and I told Behnam, "This is Nasrin. Listen to me. I'm a strong lady. Don't worry. Tell me about the situation. Tell me, please."

I told him $I$ was waiting, expecting he's going to tell me Akbar is sick because he's on hunger
strike. They took him to the hospital. And Behnam told me, Nasrin, Akbar was killed. I don't know. At that time, $I$ just -- I just scream loudly three times, "No. No. No." My body was shaking. My mom, dad, came to me.

My mom from front, my dad -- I don't know -from front and back. They tried to hold me. My muscles open, my eyes were open, just screaming. They tried to hold me. And sat me down. I could not expect my brother, my dear brother -- he was killed. I couldn't expect.

And my mom -- my mom told me, Nasrin, he tried to -- she tried to convince me, Nasrin, why you crying? Why you shaking? That was Akbar's way, wasn't it? My dad tried to calm me down. My mom tried to calm me down. But $I$ cannot describe that day.
Everybody -- everybody -- my dad got
unconscious after hour. My mom just want the $T$-shirt I bought for Akbar, the red T-shirt, the color Akbar likes. Not because of communism. Akbar was just -we are not -- you know, Akbar was activist. He wasn't to any party. The red color, he loved that color. I bought that $T$-shirt. My mom took his $T$-shirt and wore my late brother's T-shirt and chanted and told to
everybody, why you crying? I am Akbar today. Akbar is not dead. Even regime kill Akbar -- I am Akbar. I'm going to continue his fight. And there are many Akbars. Thousand and thousand Akbars are going to continue his path.
Q. Have you ever --
A. My mom was shocked. Everybody was denial. I was denial. I was denying my brother is not alive. He was gone. I couldn't believe it.

And even my nephew, Farhan, he was just eleven years old, he was crying. My nieces, two little nieces, Shamim, Shaghayegh, and three years old, they were crying.

THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Mohammadi.
Please, Mr. Klayman.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Have you ever tried to commit suicide, Miss Mohammadi?
A. Yes. When $I$ came to -- when $I$ came to the United States, it was too -- it was too heavy on my shoulders. I couldn't believe. I was in -- I was denying my brother is not alive anymore. And one day -- everybody tried to help me.

I was at therapist. Everybody told me he was killed, he's not alive. It was so hard for me. I
was so close to my late brother. I took the pills, more than ten pills. It was strong pills.
Q. What kind of pills?
A. It was -- I don't know the name. It was so strong. If $I$ could take one pill, I could sleep more than fifteen -- fourteen or fifteen hours. I took more than ten pills. I want to -- I commit -- I committed suicide. I want to kill myself. I didn't want to be alive because the life didn't have any -you know, life wasn't -- had any meaning to me.

And then my help -- at the same time, I call my therapist. I told her good-bye. She said, what happened? I said I took pills. I committed suicide. I want to die. I don't want to be alive. I don't like my life.

And she call the friends, and the friend took me to hospital. And the doctor told them, she's going to -- she's not going to be alive. Ninety-nine percent, they get -- ninety-nine percent, and maybe one percent, God help her. She's going to be alive.
Q. Who is your therapist?
A. Anna Deutch.

MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, we submitted an affidavit from Anna Deutch. I don't need to go into that unless Your Honor wants me to.

THE COURT: No.
MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. I'm going to show you what I've marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 3 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. It's a book. What is that book,

Miss Mohammadi?
A. The book, before my brother was killed by Islamic regime of Iran, $I$ think eight or nine years ago, when $I$ thought he was sick leave -- but, you know, the whole Amnesty International and human rights, they push government, Iranian government, because he was tortured alive, and he was sick in prison. Finally, they gave him sick leave.
Q. Okay. Who wrote this book?
A. And he wrote a book.
Q. Go on. I'm sorry.
A. Sorry.

He wrote book, Farsi -- his diary to Farsi. He asked me to publish it. When I read that book, I was -- he said 30 percent is memory of the jail. But I understood, it's so dangerous for his life. He
asked me, beg me to publish it. I said okay. Today, tomorrow.

At that point, he understood. He told me, Nasrin, $I$ know you are refusing to publish this book. I said, I know. Akbar, why you want the regime torture you more or kill you?

He said, if you don't publish this book, I am going to call some friends in the United States. I'm going to publish it. And then $I$-- that day, I promise him to publish his book, his memory.

You know, first $I$ publish in Farsi, but his wish was, you know, to publish his book to English.

And about three, four months ago, I publish his book in English, but I added. I'm second writer of this book. I added this book, who was Akbar, what happened to him, and what was the reaction in the whole world, how -- what my parents, you know -- how was reaction of my parents.

And then in this book, I -- there's a lot of reality in this book, and then what Akbar wrote about himself in the jail. And $I$ continue his book and finish.

But here, I'm telling Your Honor, I'm telling thanks to the United States, thanks God, they help my brother Manouchehr, help him to here, brought
him to here.
THE COURT: And when did he write this book? THE WITNESS: About eight years ago, when he was in sick leave.

THE COURT: All right. We'll take our lunch break now until 2:00.

MR. KLAYMAN: I'll move this into evidence, too, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Why don't you move it into evidence now and then we'll take a break.

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: It will be admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 3, previously marked for identification, was received in evidence.)

MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you.
(Whereupon, at 1:00 p.m. a luncheon recess was taken.)

A $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{N}\end{array}$ (Whereupon, at 2:03 p.m. the proceedings commenced and the following ensued:)

MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, we had scheduled former CIA Director James Woolsey at 2:00, so if we could take him at this time, and then we can bring
back Miss Mohammadi.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. KLAYMAN: I call to the witness stand James Woolsey.
ROBERT JAMES WOOLSEY, JR.,
a witness produced on call of the plaintiff, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT: Good afternoon. Welcome to the court.

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Proceed, Mr. Klayman.
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Please state your name.
A. Robert James Woolsey, Jr.
Q. When were you born?
A. September 21, 1941.
Q. If you can run us through, briefly, your education.
A. I went to public schools in Tulsa, Oklahoma. I have an undergraduate degree at Stanford, Master's degree at Oxford as a Rhodes scholar, LLB from Yale Law School.
Q. What did you do after law school, if you can run us through your employment history.
A. I went on active duty in the U.S. Army, working on intelligence matters in the Pentagon. As part of that assignment, $I$ was an adviser on the U.S. delegation to the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks with the Soviets in Helsinki, Indiana. I then became General Counsel of the Senate Armed Services Committee in the early 70s.

I went into private law practice with Shea \& Gardner in late '73, early '74. I practiced until '77, when $I$ became Undersecretary of the Navy in the Carter administration.

Following that, I returned to private law practice, and in '83 I was asked by the President to serve as a delegate-at-large to the negotiations in Geneva on Nuclear and Space Arms Talks and SALT. I did that on a part-time basis, returned full time to the practice of law in '86.

In '89, I was asked by the President to serve as Ambassador and Chief Negotiator for the Conventional Forces in Europe Treaty. I did that from '89 to '91, returned to private law practice.

I was asked by the President Elect in late 1992 to serve as Director of Central Intelligence. I
did that for two years, until 1995, returned to private law practice.

And then a year or so after 9/11, in 2002, I was asked by Booz Allen Hamilton to come with them as partner and to help set up their Homeland Security practice. I did that for five years, and then joined a venture capital fund, moved from one fund to the other, and also have been doing consulting, all of these in the area of alternative energy.

And I'm now consulting and a venture partner in a venture capital fund in New York, again, all of this relating to alternative energy.
Q. Were you and are you associated with any foundations, any nonprofit foundations in the last few years?
A. Oh, my goodness, yes. I just filled out my security forms, and there are dozens. Virtually all of it is nonprofit and is advisory boards and the like. But there's a long list $I$ can provide if the Court wants.
Q. In the course of your considerable experience, did you become knowledgeable with regard to the country of Iran?
A. To some extent, yes.
Q. Okay. Are you knowledgeable with regard to
some of their intelligence activities?
A. To some extent, yes.
Q. And with regard to their presence here in the United States?
A. Yes, to some extent.

MR. KLAYMAN: I would proffer Mr. Woolsey as an expert.

THE COURT: He can provide expert
testimony --
MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: -- on the issues, as I take it from your witness list submission, about, generally, human rights violations done by Iran or organs and instruments of Iran, both domestically and abroad.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: All right.
THE WITNESS: I might add that for three years in the early 2000 s, mid-2000s, I was chairman of the board of Freedom House, and I'm currently chairman of the Foundation for Defense of Democracies.

MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you.
THE COURT: Do you have any affiliation in Amnesty International?

THE WITNESS: I don't have any affiliation with Amnesty International, no.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. In the course of your years with defense areas and intelligence areas, did you become aware of whether or not high-level dissidents in Iran could be imprisoned, tortured, or executed without the authority of the Supreme Leader and the President of that country?
A. I don't believe they could be imprisoned, tortured, or executed without the authority of the Supreme Leader.

There's one -- if I may expand?
Q. Yes.
A. There's one legal case that makes this case very clearly. It's a German case. It was the German government's prosecution of the Mykonos murders that occurred in 1992 in Berlin. It's chronicled in several places, in one book that deals with it called The Assassins of the Turquoise Palace. And that very thorough and brave and detailed investigation by a German prosecutor produced a finding which effectively said that the Supreme Leader was an unindicted coconspirator in the murders of Kurdish nationals of Mykonos. That's not the terminology in German. You can get it exactly, but it is approximately a role or
designation like being an unindicted coconspirator.
Q. Based on your experience, to be able to imprison, arrest -- to arrest, imprison, torture, murder a high-level dissident, it would take an order of the Supreme Leader and the President?
A. I would think so, yes.
Q. Based on your knowledge and experience, is it correct to say that there are many Iranian intelligence and other operatives in this country?
A. I would say definitely, yes.
Q. And based on your experience, are these people placed in this country in order to effectively control, to monitor, to influence the activities of Iranians -- Iranian-Americans here?
A. Yes. All three.
Q. How do they do that?
A. They do that through foundations whose real purpose is to influence American public opinion and to keep track of those who disagree with the Iranian government through various nonprofit organizations, through various cover organizations of different kinds.

It's a very professional effort to influence events, as well as to inform themselves and to inform the Iranian government about what individuals are
saying and doing.
Q. Quite apart from -- you are aware that a few years ago in Washington, DC, a plot was uncovered to kill the Saudi Arabian ambassador?
A. Yes. I read about it at the time.
Q. Is it fair to say that Iranian intelligence agents and other agents are used to intimidating people here in this country?
A. Well, certainly, they would love to intimidate people in this country, and efforts of that sort would be geared toward that objective, among others.

As I recall, the execution or the killing was to occur with a bomb or perhaps shooters in a major restaurant in downtown Washington, so, certainly, a number of Americans would have been killed as well as the saudi ambassador.
Q. Based on your knowledge and experience, are you aware of the Iranian government and the supreme Leader and the President carrying out operations to harm Iranians overseas and others?
A. Certainly, yes.
Q. And that goes on in the United states as well?
A. Certainly, yes.
Q. Yes.

MR. KLAYMAN: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Woolsey.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you.
(Whereupon, Mr. Woolsey left the courtroom.)
THE COURT: Mr. Klayman, where is your next
witness?
MR. KLAYMAN: He's actually here now.
Would you like to do that, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Why don't we get all the expert testimony done, if you don't mind. Why don't you bring him up.

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. I call Ken Timmerman to the stand.

THE COURT: As long as we're interrupting Miss Mohammadi's testimony, we might as well do it with both experts.

MR. KLAYMAN: Mr. Ken Timmerman.
(Whereupon, Mr. Timmerman took the witness stand.)

## KENNETH R. TIMMERMAN,

a witness produced on call of the plaintiff, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as
follows:
THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Timmerman. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Mr. Timmerman, state your name, please.
A. My name is Kenneth R. Timmerman.
Q. And when were you born?
A. November 4th, 1953.
Q. Where was that?
A. In New York.
Q. Okay. Run us through, quickly, your educational background.
A. I went to public schools in Ridgewood, New Jersey, Goddard College in Vermont. I have a BA; then went to Brown University and got a Master's degree at the age of twenty-two. Went overseas, lived overseas for about eighteen years, worked as an investigative reporter, translator/interpreter from French to

English. Worked a lot in the Middle East, starting in the 1982 war in Lebanon, and used Paris as my base. I was hopping back and forth.

I lived for about six months in Egypt and lived in Europe quite a bit between '82 and '84. Covered the Iran-Iraq War. Have written nine books,
nonfiction, based on those experiences. The first one was on the Iran-Iraq War in 1987, syndicated by the New York Times Syndication Sales.

Next one was on Saddam's weapons industry and how the west armed Iraq. It was called The Death Lobby: How the West Armed Iraq. It was published in 1992, detailing chemical suppliers and due east technology.

I have -- my book on Iran is called Countdown to Crisis: The Coming Nuclear Showdown with Iran. It was first published in 2005. It details the U.S.-Iran relationship, the Iranian government's use of terrorism as a tool of foreign policy and, of course, their -- the nuclear weapons development. I was nominated for a Nobel Peace Prize for my work on Iran in 2006 from the former Deputy Premier of Sweden.

Can $I$ pull my chair closer?
It's very difficult moving in any direction. Okay. I guess I can't move it.

THE COURT: It's difficult.
THE WITNESS: Since '95-- I was the founder in 1995 of Foundation for Democracy in Iran, which is a nonprofit, initially funded by the National Endowment For Democracy for the first two years or so. Since then $I$ have been working primarily pro bono.

But the goal of that organization was to bring to light human rights abuses by the Iranian regime to the American people, to Congress, to the press in general, and to heighten awareness of what the regime is doing to its own people.

Most recently, I was a Republican candidate for the United States Congress in the Eighth District of Maryland, nonsuccessful, in the 2012 election. But one of the things that $I$ said during that campaign, which is relevant here, is that it is in our -- I believe it is in our national security interest as Americans to help the people of Iran get rid of this tyrannical regime.

The problem with Iran is not the Iranian people. Our problem is the regime, which is ideological in nature, and which has stated repeatedly its intention to wipe Israel off the face -- off the map and to destroy America.

So if you see what a regime does to its own people, torture and rape and executions and murders, look, you know, you can imagine what they would do to their neighbors and to their enemies.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Were you, yourself, at one point a hostage in the Middle East?
A. I was taken hostage in Lebanon in July of 1982 and held for twenty-four days underground, right kind of in the hot zone. The building I was kept in had eight floors when $I$ went in and twenty-four days later, it had one and a half floors and pancakes on top.
Q. Have you recently taken a trip to the Middle East?
A. I just got back from Northern Iraq about a week ago. So $I$ continue to travel to the Middle East. It's a regular business of mine. This particular trip was the -- for the commemoration of the 25 th anniversary of the gassing of the Kurds by Saddam Hussein. Some of my research has been useful to victims of those chemical weapons attacks in going after the companies who supplied the technology.
Q. Based on your knowledge and experience, would you consider yourself an expert on Iran?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you knowledgeable with regard to the human rights violations and crimes against humanity perpetrated in Iran?
A. Yes. I've also been summoned -- I'm also an expert witness in other cases on this subject, yes.
Q. Can you tell us what other cases you've
testified in?
A. Well, $I$ was -- the Argentinian government has held a longstanding investigation of the Iranian involvement in the AMIA bombing. This is the

Argentinian Jewish Community Center in 1994. And they ultimately came -- the judge in that case ultimately came to Washington, took my testimony here in Washington and cited me in their final report as an expert on Iranian terrorism and Iranian government organizations, and how they use terror as a weapon of -- as an arm of government policy.
Q. Have you been involved in other cases as well?
A. Yes. I testified in a number of terrorism cases. The Irahi trial. I was a consultant in the Havlish case, which just won a $\$ 6$ billion judgment in New York. This was Iran's involvement as a coconspirator in the 9/11 attacks, helping Al Qaeda -providing material support to Al Qaeda in support of the 9/11 attacks.
Q. Based on that case and your experience, do you have knowledge as to whether or not Iran has a significant presence here in the United States?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Tell us what you know.
A. Well, you know, I -- as an investigator reporter and as a human rights activist, I talk to people when they come out of Iran. I debrief them, whether they come out of Iranian intelligence or $I$ just get their story, and have written many stories as a journalist and chronicled this at iran.org, which is a website of my foundation.

Many of the individuals who come out of Iran tend to go to Los Angeles. That seems to be the headquarters of the Iranian community. The climate is a little bit similar, the landscape is a little bit similar. It reminds them of Teheran. And there's an area of Los Angeles called Westwood, which is the locus of the Iranian-American community. That neighborhood is under high surveillance by Iranian government agents.

I personally have been to some of the travel agencies that they use as covers. I have gone around with friends of mine in the community to look at some of these front -- literally storefronts that they use to keep track of local Iranians. Their goal --

THE COURT: How do you know that they're covers?

THE WITNESS: Because they -- they -- in Persian, in the Persian storefront, they will tell
you, for example, that they are providing a visa -visas for Americans to go to Iran and will help Iranians with their visas. They're providing marriage certificates to the Iranian Interests Sections here in Washington, DC, something which is entirely illegal for them to do.

So they're actually performing services for the Iranian regime -- notarial services, documentation services for the Iranian regime. But they don't advertise that in English. They advertise it in Persian in the storefronts. And that's, if you wish, just on the surface.

Beneath the surface, it's the actual
individuals involved, who $I$ subsequently would research and talk to people, either in the FBI or other U.S. intelligence organizations.

THE COURT: And in the course of your human rights work or in your assistance to human rights activists within Iran, have you helped members of the Mohammadi family?

THE WITNESS: Have I --

THE COURT: Other than providing testimony here today.

THE WITNESS: No. I've gotten to know -- I first met Manouchehr Mohammadi in '97, but I did not
provide assistance except for publicizing his case.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Okay. The Iranian presence in Los Angeles, does it also extend to radio and television networks?
A. Yes. The Iranian regime have, surprisingly, an extensive media presence in this country. They have an outfit called Press TV, in English, which broadcasts in English. They have two production companies here in Washington, DC. They have an office up in New York. They have correspondents in

Los Angeles. They have several other -- excuse me -several other television channels in Persian that also have correspondents in New York and especially in Los Angeles.
Q. Approximately how many Persian stations are there in Los Angeles -- television stations?
A. Run by the regime?
Q. Generally, and then run by the regime.
A. There's about sixteen -- roughly sixteen Persian language televisions [sic] in Los Angeles, but those are mainly run by Iranian-Americans. But in addition to that, you have probably four or five that are regime organizations -- outfits.
Q. Can you cite any of the names of these networks?
A. One is called Alam TV, A-L-A-M TV. They have the -- actually, the official IRIB, Islamic Republic of Iran Broadcasting, networks. They have two channels, IRIB, for example.

THE COURT: Is this on cable channels, like one of the 500 channels?

THE WITNESS: Satellite, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Satellite.
THE WITNESS: Satellite TV.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. You are familiar with Voice of America, are you not?
A. Yes, indeed.
Q. Did there come a point in time when Voice of America, in its Persian News Network, had a managing director who had ties to Iran?
A. Well, yes, indeed. And I lectured at the Joint Counterintelligence Training Academy in Quantico to younger members of the intelligence community, and his case was one of the ones that I cited as Iranian infiltration. This was a couple of years ago.

The managing director of the Persian Service of Voice of America was a gentleman whose father was a prominent Ayatollah in Teheran. His brother was the head of foreign currency exchange for the Central Bank
of Iran -- Islamic Republic of Iran.
And $I$ can remember confronting him about
this. He says, well, why should that be a problem for me to work at Persian News Network?

And the answer to that, very simply, is
that's how the regime exercises control over
individuals. You don't have to be an agent of the regime -- and $I$ don't know whether that individual himself personally was an agent. I think there were indications that he was -- but they control you through your family.

And that is what is very specific about this regime. They will target individuals living in this country in positions of prominence, especially Iranian-Americans, and then go after their family members in Teheran. They will round them up, throw them in jail, torture them, and then word would go back that they're supposed to change what they're doing or change their activities.

There's one broadcaster at the Voice of America who $I$ got to know fairly well. His father was murdered, and he subsequently retired from Voice of America.
Q. Who was that?
A. Ahmad Baharloo.
Q. The person you're describing was managing editor of Voice of America?
A. Yes.
Q. The one with the father who was a prominent Ayatollah?
A. Yes.
Q. His name is Ali Sajadi?
A. Sajadi, yes.
Q. And you've written about this?
A. Yes, I've written the story, spoken out about it in public, absolutely.
Q. Did there come a point in time when you became aware that approximately thirty broadcasters at Voice of America felt intimidated and threatened by Ali Sajadi?
A. I don't know the exact number, but there were certainly a lot of them. I would say dozens of broadcasters. Many came to me personally to tell me their stories, that Sajadi was censoring their broadcasts and putting pressure on them not to broadcast about human rights violations or about regime repression.

Specifically, the story of Neda.
You are able to recall this, Your Honor. Shortly after the June 2009 demonstrations after the
elections -- selection in Iran of the new president, three million people took to the streets. And during these demonstrations, there was a young woman who was struck by a bullet in her heart, actually -- a bullet in her heart -- and was captured on cell phone video.

That video came to Voice of America, was transmitted over the Internet to Voice of America of her death. And Ali Sajadi sat on it for at least forty-eight hours --

THE INTERPRETER: Three days.
THE WITNESS: -- and he sat on it for at least forty-eight hours as people who worked under him were saying, we have to publish this. This is absolutely extraordinary material. But he would not publish it. Ultimately, he allowed it to air after it had gone on CNN and BBC and other networks.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. In the course of your work and your expertise, are you aware whether or not prominent Iranian-Americans feel threatened by this presence of the Iranian regime here in this country?
A. Absolutely. Most Iranians who live in this country, who come here fleeing the regime since 1979 still have family members who live back in Iran. And there's a tacit understanding that there are lines
that they cannot cross in their public activities if they don't want those family members to suffer. And everybody understands that.

You can pick up any Iranian over the age of thirty on the streets today and ask them, will you speak out against the regime, and some of them will, but the great majority will not do so. And you ask them, well, why?

Well, they say, I've got my uncle, you know, Mahmoud who is back in Tehran and I'm afraid for him.
Q. Has that fear been heightened during the current administration, based on your experience, by Iranian-Americans not to speak out?
A. Yeah.

THE COURT: When you say "the current administration," you are --

MR. KLAYMAN: I'm talking about the Obama administration.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Well, I would actually set the beginning of the regime's intense overseas intimidation at the end of the Iran-Iraq War, at the end of 1988, 1989, for one very simple reason. Until that point, they were preoccupied with fighting Iraq. They didn't have time to go after ordinary Iranians.

They were sending hit squads out around the world to assassinate leaders. That they did right from the beginning of the regime. And we have published a database listing something like 200 leaders of oppositional organizations who have been murdered around the world from 1980 to about 1997.

But going after ordinary Iranians, I really put that, in an intense way and systematic way, starting in late '88, '89.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Okay. So is it your --

THE COURT: But here we have ordinary
Iranians who were gone after long before that, so I'm not sure what the relevancy of that is.

MR. KLAYMAN: Well, I'll tie that up, Your
Honor.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. You are aware -- let me ask you this question first.
A. Excuse me. '88, ' 89 is when I think it started.
Q. Is it your expert opinion that Iran exercises a control over Iranian-Americans in this country or people living in this country that came from Iran, that they seek to exercise a control and
intimidation and threat factor?
A. They certainly seek to exercise control and to intimidate them and to keep them from getting organized or helping organize the opposition inside Iran.

I'll give you just one very simple example. Whenever they have a presidential election, which is every four years, they set up ballot boxes around the United States. Now, you say, well, they are just exercising their democratic rights. It's not exactly that.

First of all, they don't have free elections in Iran. The candidates for president are selected by the Supreme Leader and the Guardians Council, so there's already pre-selection of the candidates.

When they set up these ballot boxes, they will rent a hotel ballroom or they'll rent a restaurant in Detroit, Orange County, California or in Los Angeles, and you have to go and show your Iranian identity card to be able to vote. And it's a way for them to keep control over the community and to keep tabs on everybody and to let them know that they're watching.

Now, this is all illegal. And I tried to get the $F B I$ engaged in this, because under the
agreements, they are not allowed to have -- the Iranian regime is not allowed to have any other presence in the United States except for the United Nations mission in New York and the interest section here in Washington, and the restricted movement of Iranian diplomats is restricted to twenty-five miles from each of those places.

So they have officers of the Iranian government in thirty-nine locations around the United States operating illegally during election times. That's just an example.
Q. Approximately how many Iranians live in Los Angeles?
A. At least 600,000 . If you talk to Iranians, they will say two million, but $I$ would say at least 600,000 .
Q. If you take the suburbs of Los Angeles, is it close to a million?
A. Quite possibly.
Q. In fact, the nickname for Los Angeles is little Tehran, is it not?
A. $\quad$ Tehrangeles."
Q. Okay. In fact, based on your experience, you can exist in Los Angeles and not even be able to speak English, but only Farsi, correct?
A. I know a number of Iranians, older immigrants, who do not speak English and have been here for thirty years.
Q. Are you aware -- you said you had some experience with the Mohammadi family, Nasrin, Manouchehr. Were you aware of the history of Akbar Mohammadi and Manouchehr Mohammadi in being at the forefront of the student Freedom Movement?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you learn about that?
A. I first met Manouchehr when he came to the United States two years before the student uprising in '99. The uprising was in '99. He came here in '97. I met him in Washington, Washington, DC, where he was hosted by Iranian-Americans. They wanted to meet him as a prominent student leader in Iran.

And so we went to a demonstration together, I believe it was someplace in Georgetown or something like that. And $I$ spent several hours with him, talking about what he was doing back in Teheran. He agreed to talk to me on one condition, that $I$ not publish anything until he gave me permission because it would be -- as he said, he would risk prison and torture if it became known that he was in the United States and especially talking to me. Because I'm
fairly well-known at that point as a journalist and somebody supporting the pro-freedom movement.
Q. And what did you subsequently -- did you subsequently have knowledge as to Mr. Mohammadi's activities after he spoke with you that day, for what happened to him?
A. Well, I learned -- as soon as the uprising began on July 6th, 1999, at Tehran University, it quickly became apparent that both he and his brother were involved in the forefront of that movement. They were arrested, $I$ believe, twelve days later. I think it was the 18th of July, 1999.

And we tracked those events on the iran.org website with a special page on the student movement and the student uprising, in part because of those contacts that $I$ had with Manouchehr Mohammadi ahead of time.
Q. And what was his brother's name?
A. Akbar, $A-K-B-A-R$.
Q. In tracking what was going on, what was your understanding as to what the student group intended to do in Iran -- or tried to do?
A. Well, my understanding was the goal was to break out of the university. The regime had sealed the gates of the university. It was trying to keep
them inside and to kill in silence. It's what they do.

And their goal was to break out of the university and to essentially link hands with ordinary Iranians in Teheran and to make it a broader movement against the regime. At one point, we published a whole page of slogans that they were chanting during these demonstrations, and it was -- they were anti-regime slogans. They were not student demands. They were anti-regime slogans. So they were trying to broaden the movement and to break out of the university and to link hands with other groups, nationalist groups and anti-regime groups.
Q. What were some of the slogans that they were chanting?
A. Khamenei, Khamenei, you are a murderer, you are an assassin; we want freedom, end to tyranny, that sort of thing.
Q. Was this group secular in nature or religious in nature?
A. Most of the students were secular, but there was also a student movement organization that was named Tahkim Vahdat, that was set up by the regime originally and still had a religious background but became a dissident organization.
Q. Based upon your experience, what's the reaction -- what was been the reaction of the regime towards groups that are secular?
A. Secularism is considered anti-Islamic by the regime, an insult to God, mohareb, and essentially opens people who are arrested and charged with this in the revolutionary courts to the death sentence.
Q. You just testified that you were aware that Akbar Mohammadi and Manouchehr Mohammadi were arrested in Iran for their activities?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Could those arrests have occurred without the authority and orders of the supreme Leader and the president of Iran?
A. No. The crackdown on the students -- and they were arrested during the crackdown on the students -- was ordered by the Supreme Leader after he was implored by a large number of Revolutionary Guard leaders to crack down. He gave the orders.

THE COURT: And how do you know that?
THE WITNESS: This all came out in the Iranian media, believe it or not, in the weeks or months afterwards. And we published a lot of this information on our website, iran.org. If you're interested, $I$ can make that available to the Court.

THE COURT: Well, I think Mr. Klayman would have to support that.

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.

THE WITNESS: But the order was given by the Supreme Leader to crack down on the universities because the uprising was seen as a challenge to the regime.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Was Akbar Mohammadi the first to call for a regime change among the student leaders?
A. I don't know if he was the first, but he was certainly calling for regime change. I believe he may have been the first to openly and publicly call for regime change.
Q. As part of your duties and responsibilities in running your foundation and your work, you do review works that come out -- books on Iran?
A. Yes.
Q. You are aware of a book called Green Revolution? You ever hear of that one?
A. $\quad \mathrm{No}$.
Q. Okay. I'll buy it for you for your birthday.
A. Okay.
Q. But there are books that have attributed

Akbar as the first student leader who called for regime change; is that correct?
A. I have certainly heard that -- heard many people say that, yes.
Q. Would the orders to torture and murder Akbar and Manouchehr also have to come from the Supreme Leader and the President?
A. Akbar Mohammadi was in jail for many years, right? And he was beaten repeatedly within inches of death. He was ultimately furloughed and given a medical furlough because they didn't want to take care of him in the prison hospital, so they sent him home.

According to his prison diary, which Nasrin has published in English, which is a limiting document, they tried to murder him on several occasions when he was on that medical furlough at home. They rearrested him in 2006 . He went back on a hunger strike, and it was then that he was ultimately killed, apparently after being given some kind of injection at the -- by the prison doctor.

He was a high-profile dissident and orders to kill high-profile dissidents come from the very top. This is a regime that does not freelance. This is a regime that has multiple centers of power, but when it comes to important things like killing
dissidents, like building nuclear weapons, like sending terrorist teams overseas, they do not freelance. This is done at a very, very high level. Director Woolsey mentioned the case in Germany, 1992, that -- where the Supreme Leader and the President and the Foreign Minister and the Minister of Intelligence were considered as unindicted coconspirators or the American -- the German equivalent thereof.

The same thing happened in the AMIA case in Argentina. The Supreme Leader, the President, the Foreign Minister, the Minister of Intelligence, the head of the Revolutionary Guards were not considered, in this case, not unindicted coconspirators. Arrest warrants were issued for several of them.

So the orders to carry out terrorist
operations, the orders to murder prominent dissidents, such as Forouhars, who we haven't spoken about here, come from the very top of the regime. These are not freelance orders.
Q. Is there a case in Argentina -- is that where a synagogue was bombed?
A. The Jewish Center, the AMIA Jewish Center was bombed in 1994.
Q. You came, Mr. Timmerman, with certain
photographs today. Can $I$ show them to you?
A. Yes, please.

MR. KLAYMAN: And I'll mark them, Your
Honor. We'll make them Composite Exhibit 5. He only came with one copy.

THE WITNESS: I have a very slow color printer.

THE COURT: What was your Exhibit 4?
MR. KLAYMAN: It's the affidavit of
Mr. Timmerman. He wanted to correct something.
THE COURT: I see. I just wanted to make sure I had not missed one.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification.)

MR. KLAYMAN: Would Your Honor like to see them first?

THE COURT: No. Why don't you have them authenticated first.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Would you describe what these photographs are and why you brought them.
A. I wanted to just illustrate how the regime uses the torture and brutal punishment as a deterrent for --

THE COURT: First, Mr. Timmerman, if $I$ can
just interrupt you for a second.
Even though we don't have a fully adversary proceeding here, $I$ just need you to authenticate the photographs.

Did you take the photographs? Did you collect the photographs? What are they of? Where were they taken?

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: What do you know about them, so that they are all relevant of the proceedings? So why don't you describe them, first, generally. We'll admit them, and then you can talk about them more specifically.

THE WITNESS: Okay. These photographs come from public sources. I did not take them. They are -- most of them are available from various human rights organizations on the Internet. They have not, as far as I'm aware, ever been disputed. Some of them have appeared in magazines. You know, there's one picture that was on the cover of Time Magazine in 1999, taken by a professional photographer in Tehran, and others have been seen on CNN and other news agencies. So they are public photographs -- public source photographs.

THE COURT: For what years?

THE WITNESS: From 1988 to 19- -- to 2009.
I offer these, Your Honor, merely as illustrations, to illustrate my point.

THE COURT: All right. For purposes of illustration, they will be admitted. Now you can talk about them more specifically.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 5, previously marked for identification, was received in evidence.)

THE COURT: How many of them are there?
THE WITNESS: They're are just four photographs, Your Honor.

In 1988, for example, the regime carried out very public mass executions of dissidents who had been held in prison. And they let everybody know this was going on, and there were tens of thousand of people who were murdered at the end of the Iran-Iraq War. Again, a deterrent to dissidents. This is what will happen to you if you oppose the regime.

Can I --
THE COURT: You can just leave it there.
THE WITNESS: During the 1999 student uprising, July of 1999, my organization received, through the Internet, some of these pictures from
people who have taken them with cell phones. What was unique about them was that the regime sent their plainclothes thugs into the student dormitories and trashed the dormitories at the University of Tehran and, in the end, took students and threw them out of the windows of the third floor to their death.

Again, they did this quite publicly. They made sure everybody knew it. You see them here in the riot gear, beating students at the university. This is the famous picture of Ahmad Batebi in 1999, holding up the bloody shirt of one of the students who had been thrown from the balcony and saying, this is what you did to my friend.

In 2009, now we go ten years forward, after the so-called reelection -- I call it the selection -of Ahmadinejad to a second term, where you have the massive demonstrations of green people in the streets. This is what I call The Empire Strikes Back. The subtitle is from a -- this is a PowerPoint that I give to the Joint Counterintelligence Training Academy.

This is simply to show the type of force that they use to counter demonstrations in the streets. They will have hundred of these plainclothes officers riding minibikes in the streets to chase the protesters and to track them down. In some cases,
they will take pickup trucks and run them over. Many of these individuals -- there are other pictures that are, again, available from human rights activists on the Internet. We have close-ups, and you can see them carrying knives and carrying pistols, and they fire randomly into the crowd and knife people randomly in the crowd. The goal is to create terror, to terrorize the population, and to break the back of the protest movement.

The final one that $I$ want to show you is a little bit more obscure. This is the picture of the son of the Revolutionary Guards' general. His name is Mohsen Rouholamini. And I'm going to give you that in writing so you can have the spelling.

He was taken, among the protestors in June of 2009 , to an Iranian prison and raped, brutally tortured, and ultimately murdered after three days.

The father, who is a general, gathered a hundred of his friends from -- who were also generals and Revolutionary Guards, and confronted the Supreme Leader and said, now you, the Supreme Leader, are killing the sons of the revolution. You've got to stop.

Initially, the Leader just said, you know, I'm not going to listen to you; why should I pay
attention to you? And then the father quoted a special sura of the Qu'ran to them, saying those who have the blood of innocents on their hands should have their own blood shed. It's basically an invitation to murder, to retribution murder. And Khamenei, according to people who told me the story, who were there, he said, okay. I will appoint someone to investigate.

When they did ultimately investigate this
murder, they found a few lackeys in prison who they made -- they accused of disobeying orders who were made scapegoats.

It's clear that that is not what happened, because the orders came from on high. The reason I say that is because those hundred Revolutionary Guards and generals, they knew who to interview. They knew who to go to. They didn't go to the head of the prisoners' organization. They didn't go to the head of this or that government ministry. They didn't go to the prison warden. They went to see the man in charge because they knew that Khamenei, the Supreme Leader, is the one who gave the orders.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. We heard testimony this morning that Manouchehr Mohammadi -- we assume this to be true --
continues it be threatened by the Iranian government here in the United States, threatened with death. Would that come as a surprise to you in light of what you have learned and experienced?
A. No.
Q. Why?
A. Because the regime doesn't give up. They go after dissidents. And if they can torture them to death, they will. If they can silence them, they will. And if the dissidents continue to speak out, they will hound them.

And I've seen this, you know, hundreds and hundreds of times. They'll let people out on furlough, out of prison, and let them go home. And if they open their mouth again, they're back in jail and tortured.

If you read the Amnesty International
reports, not only the United Nations Rapporteur for Human Rights, Dr. Shahid -- Dr. Shahid -- every year, there are new cases where prisoners have been furloughed and then rearrested and tortured to death in prison.
Q. Is it your expert opinion that Iranians here in the United States still feel as if they are under the custody and control of the regime in Tehran -- the
dissidents?
A. I think they all feel threatened and on edge. And Iranian-Americans in general, especially those who go back to Iran periodically or who have family in Iran, know that there are limits to what they can do, what they can say. And those limits are, essentially, criticism of the regime.
Q. Based on your experience, do they feel they can be retaliated against right here on American soil?
A. Yes.
Q. You previously offered an affidavit to this Court?
A. Yes.
Q. It's marked as Exhibit 4. I believe you pointed out to me over the recess lunch there's a small error you want to correct in that?
A. Yes.
Q. Where is that?
A. I had stated in the affidavit -- do you want me to find the place where $I$ stated it?

Here's the exhibit.

THE COURT: Are you moving its admission?
MR. KLAYMAN: Yes, Your Honor. It's already on the record.

THE COURT: Okay. It may be in the record,
but it's not an exhibit at the bench trial.
MR. KLAYMAN: Yeah. We can move its
admission. Thank you.
THE COURT: I will admit it.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 4 was marked for identification.)
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 4 previously marked for identification was received in evidence.)

THE WITNESS: I was reading over it.
THE COURT: You don't have to take time now, Mr. Klayman. You can --

MR. KLAYMAN: I'll correct it later on the record.

THE COURT: -- give notice to the Court about the correction.

MR. KLAYMAN: We are moving along very
quickly, Your Honor, quicker than $I$ thought we would.
THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: So I will make that correction and resubmit it to the court.

THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Is there anything else that you would like to add with regard to your testimony today?
A. Simply, I would simply like to emphasize one thing. This is a regime that operates under a reign of terror, and that is their MO. They've done it from the very beginning. They set out in the first twenty years or so to assassinate the leaders of the opposition. Once they've killed the leaders of all the opposition groups, Kurds, nationalists, you name it, then they went kind of lower down in the hierarchy and went after grassroots activities. Through terror, they seem to control the population and prevent any threats to the regime. They continue to do that today. They do it both inside Iran and outside Iran.
Q. My question before was not to lay any issue on any particular American administration, but has it gotten worse in the last four years in terms of silencing opposition since the uprising a few years ago?

THE COURT: My concern with this,
Mr. Klayman, is: What is the relevance here, given the incidents in the second amended complaint end far earlier than four years ago?

MR. KLAYMAN: Insofar as the threats are continuing at this point in time.

Let me back up a little bit here.
BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. About three years ago there was a significant uprising in Tehran, correct?
A. Yes. That's correct.
Q. There was a young lady -- a young girl named Neda, who was gunned down during that?
A. Yes. That's correct.
Q. And these uprisings occurred before the so-called Arab Spring, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. The Persian people were ahead of the Arabic people in terms of seeking democracy?
A. That's correct.
Q. Yet, since that time, is it correct that we've seen attempted democratization in many Arab countries and revolution, which has overthrown the government?

We haven't seen that in Iran in spite of the fact that in Iran, it happened first.

How do you explain that?
A. If I may, what is significant here is the U.S. response to the massive uprising of June of 2009 . Three million people in the streets, not just in Tehran, but in other major cities, in Shiraz, in Isfahan, and other places around Iran. And for three weeks, these people were calling out to us in this
country to help them in their struggle for freedom, and our government stayed silent.

And when our -- when the President of the United States did finally say something, what he said was we will not meddle -- we have a bad history of meddiing in the internal affairs of Iran, and we are not going to do it. And that essentially sent the death knell to the grieved in Tehran. People lost hope; they went home, and the uprising ended.

So that is the significant thing. When the people of Iran felt that they had no one to turn to and no one would back them up, they understood that the regime was stronger than they were.
Q. And in your expert opinion, has that emboldened the Iranian authorities to be more aggressive with regard to dissidents and others around the world?
A. I think it has emboldened them, and it's emboldened them also to operate here in this country in ways that they didn't before. You know, the Arbabsiar plot. This was the plot to murder the Saudi ambassador. We didn't have things like that in the past. That's the first one that $I$ know of that's been documented, authenticated by the FBI where the Iranian intelligence network in this country planned to carry
out that terrorist attack in this country.
The last time there was an Iranian killing here was in 1980, right in the very, very beginning of the regime.
Q. Are you aware that the FBI has a special section in Los Angeles dedicated just to Iran?
A. Yes.

MR. KLAYMAN: I proffer Mr. Timmerman as an expert, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. No further questions.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Timmerman.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
Mr. Klayman, is your next witness going to resume with Miss Mohammadi?

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes, Miss Mohammadi.
THE COURT: Why don't we take a ten-minute break, since it's 3:00, and we'll resume in ten minutes.
(Whereupon, at 3:00 p.m., a recess was taken
and at 3:10 p.m., the following ensued:)
MR. KLAYMAN: Your Honor, we are moving much faster than $I$ anticipated.

THE COURT: How much longer for

Miss Mohammadi?
MR. KLAYMAN: I think we can finish her today and probably even before the close of business. Probably maybe an hour.

THE COURT: I usually sit until 5 or 5:30.
Do you have any of your other witnesses
ready?
MR. KLAYMAN: I tried to see if Mr. Ledeen might be available to come over this afternoon. Unfortunately, he's not. He has a meeting tomorrow and then a doctor's appointment, so it's scheduled for 3 p.m. tomorrow. So perhaps -- I can submit an affidavit.

THE COURT: Why don't you do that by way of affidavit, then --

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
THE COURT: -- because $I$ was hoping, if we could, and we're moving along, if we could wrap this up.

Is that the last witness?
MR. KLAYMAN: Much of the testimony is corroborative and duplicative in any event. So we'll do an affidavit.

THE COURT: Is that Ledeen?
MR. KLAYMAN: Michael Ledeen.

THE COURT: So you'll submit him by way of affidavit.

MR. KLAYMAN: Mr. Keyes became unavailable too, so we can submit an affidavit for Mr. Keyes too.

THE COURT: So we'll finish up with
Miss Mohammadi --
Is it Miss or Mrs.?
MR. KLAYMAN: Ms.
THE COURT: -- Ms. Mohammadi this afternoon.
And then before we close today, we'll figure out a schedule for any supplemental submissions that you may wish to make, and then we'll wait for those.

But then we won't hear testimony tomorrow?
MR. KLAYMAN: Correct.
THE COURT: Okay.
NASRIN MOHAMMADI,
a witness produced on recall of the Plaintiff, having been previously duly sworn, was examined and testified further as follows:

THE COURT: Ms. Mohammadi, could you please come forward and resume the witness stand. You remain under oath.

You may be seated. Please continue, Mr. Klayman.

MR. KLAYMAN: Can I ask that the last
question be read back? I don't think she finished answering it.

Would that be too difficult? Don't worry about it.

THE COURT: It may be difficult. Why don't you resume. And $I$ usually do not leave with a question pending. So whatever question there was, your last question, I'm confident it was answered. MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.

Can she say something?
THE WITNESS: Your Honor --
THE COURT: No.
MR. KLAYMAN: You have to have a question pending.

THE COURT: There has to be a question pending. This is not a free-form conversation. DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. My general questions were, I had asked you to describe your close relationship with Akbar, and you described how his death greatly affected you.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Can you describe in greater detail than what your brother Manouchehr described how it affected your sister Simin, based on your experience
with her --
A. Sure.
Q. -- and your brother Reza and your parents.
A. Sure.

Today, sorry, I was so down, I forgot to mention, you know, about my family.

After -- you know, when we went to Ankara, I forgot to tell you when we heard about my late brother who was killed by Iran regime, my brother Reza, he was screaming at that time. He was shocked. My mom and dad, they were denying, and then --

THE COURT: How old was Reza at the time?
How old was Reza at the time? Was he older?
THE WITNESS: Reza is older than me, but one year younger than Akbar.

And then Simin, she was quiet, so shocked. And after ten hours, she was just screaming when my parents left Turkey and they went -- came back to Iran.

I want to mention Reza, my brother Reza. When my -- the regime arrested my two brothers, Manouchehr and Akbar, he -- he was married and he has a kid. And the regime fire him. He had a job. He could not find any job. They fire him, put him to jail for -- prison for short time.

And then $I$ was really close to my parents. My parents, they got -- they went to -- you know, through a lot. You know, they got -- they were -they got deep depression, and see, they are so sick, and then they see the therapist, long time until now. They got the age, not because of the age, but because they lost their son.

You're a mother, maybe, and you understand, you know.

And Akbar was so close to me. I'm still hurt, and $I$ still have nightmare, long time. I saw therapist many times. You know, I'm -- I'm still seeing different doctors, but $I$ have a nightmare a week. Two, three times per week, I have a nightmare and a lot of dizziness. I got it because of stress.

I was really close to him. He was like a -he was -- he wasn't that much older than me, but he was like a father to me. He was so close to me. I wish he was alive. I could hug him, kiss him. I don't know.

God knows my heart was injured. I can't believe -- always, I try to deny. Still I try to deny. Always, I'm waiting. Akbar, one day my parents tell me, knock the door and say Akbar has come home.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. --

THE WITNESS: And Akbar --
THE COURT: I understand that. And you've said that before.

Mr. Klayman, what -- what other information is important to elicit from this witness?

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Just refresh the Court's recollection as to when you became a citizen, when you applied for citizenship.
A. Three years ago.
Q. When did you apply for citizenship?
A. About more than three years, and three years ago became citizen.
Q. Did you first apply for permanent residency?
A. Yeah, I was.
Q. And when did you apply for that?
A. More than seven years ago. More than seven years. After one year $I$ came here, I have a green card.
Q. And Manouchehr was killed in what year? 2005?
A. Akbar was killed.
Q. Akbar.
A. 2000 --
Q. '6?
A. '6, yeah.
Q. So you applied for permanent residency before Akbar was killed?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell us, since the time that you applied for permanent residency, how you've been threatened by the defendants in this case?
A. Sure. You asking about the regime, something like that?
Q. Yes. Yes.
A. I was -- still my parents calling me, Nasrin, be careful when you are there because the regime, agent of the regime, you know, threatening them, telling about me and my brother, and we're going to hurt them, we're going to kill them. We have an agent.

Like when $I$ went to Germany, they told my parents, and they tried to kill me. You have the documents. And my parents, they're afraid of my life, my brother's life.

Sorry. I forgot. What did you -- could you repeat your question? I'm sorry.
Q. Since you applied for permanent residency, have you been threatened by the defendants in this case that we'll just call collectively "the regime"?
A. Yes. About three years ago, I think -three years ago, my Facebook, my e-mail to -important e-mails. I have senators, many senators from Washington, DC, and Amnesty International and many important people in Europe, and my two Facebooks, and my e-mail address, my brother Manouchehr's Facebook, my own family, my sister, my sister-in-law, my brother, other brother, and more than twelve or thirteen people, political activists and numerous activists were hacked.

And then we suffer -- they were using Facebook, my picture, my name, and sending -- I can't explain. It's really difficult for me -- you know, sexy picture. Sending, you know, and they -- with someone, they mix -- I don't know how they could fix it, sending sexy picture. And there are people -insulting people with bad word. The people thought it's me or happened for my brother Manouchehr. And it's still my Facebook. They have it.

You know, I told -- I talked with police, and more than four, five times. I had a meeting with FBI. I explained to them. They try, but they couldn't do anything.

They playing with other people's reputation. Many times, nighttime until morning I'm
crying because of my reputation. The people from Europe call me asking me, it's you? It's you with that picture? Are you insulting us with the bad words? More than three months.

But after that, you know --
THE COURT: When did this happen?
THE WITNESS: Three years -- three years ago.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. After you became -- after you applied for permanent residency?
A. Yeah. I was a citizen then.
Q. You were already a citizen?
A. Citizen, yeah.
Q. Is it a practice, based on your knowledge of the regime, to disparage someone's sexuality to harm them?
A. Yes. The regime can do anything. You know, if they want to apply to reputation, if they want to -- or kill. You know, we know. There are many agents of regime in the United States, especially in Los Angeles. And they're going to many parties; they're telling them we are political activists, against the government, but they are not. You know, they are agent of regime. They are covering their
face, you know, as political activist but they are agent of regime.

I don't know. We are living in the United States, but there are agents of the regime. You know, they are getting money from the regime. They are working here, and they want to hurt the people or kill people. You can see, you know.
Q. Is that widely known in the Iranian community in Los Angeles?
A. Yes.
Q. Iran is a Muslim country, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. It practices Sharia law? Sharia law is the law of Iran?
A. I know what you asking. Okay.
Q. Okay. To be naked, to have sexy pictures in public, or to appear in public, what is the effect of that on a woman? What can happen to a woman that shows their body in a sexy way in Iranian culture?
A. It's really bad. They think she's -- sorry. I'm really -- it's difficult to use that word. I'm going to --
Q. Whore?
A. Yes. I'm really shy to use that word, you know. So bad person, as attorney said that word, you
know.
They use my face for a lot of picture like that, you know. The people can do easily, you know. It's really bad, showing the -- me or many activists, the women, you know, the naked body. You know, they losing their reputation. You know, they think, oh, she's a political human rights activist? Is she? You know, it's really bad for our reputation. But it was emotionally, $I$ was hurt a lot.
Q. Quite apart from reputation, is it your experience and understanding that women can actually be stoned and killed for doing that in Iran?
A. I haven't experienced. I can explain to you about the stoning, if you want.

Your Honor, if you allow me, $I$ can explain to you.

THE COURT: I think what Mr. Klayman is just trying to say is what is the penalty under Sharia law for women revealing their bodies in such a way, if you know?

THE WITNESS: It's really bad, you know. I explained to him. To show their body, you know, is really bad, for the Muslim country, you know. If you want to explain more, $I$ can.

BY MR. KLAYMAN:
Q. Were these pictures, to use a slang word, doctored? Were they manipulated of you, the sexy pictures? They weren't pictures of you naked? They were manufactured?
A. They made it. Yeah, they made it. It was really difficult for me. I was -- the night it happened, $I$ was -- until morning, I took sleeping pill to sleep. I couldn't. And $I$ was seeing doctor. And doctor said this sleeping pill $I$ gave to you, if $I$ gave to elephant, elephant would just sleep. You with a lot of stress. That was because I was a lot of stress, you know. It was big deal for me. It was so difficult and hard.
Q. Since you applied for permanent residency, have you felt threatened in other ways by the regime?
A. What's mean, "other ways"?
Q. In addition to the sexy pictures.
A. Yeah.
Q. Tell us.
A. I just -- you know, I'm always activist, you know. More than seven, eight months ago, I'm not in the TV people. I was a host in the TV. I had a show in the $T V$ as a human right and political activist. I was defending the people in Iran and especially student prisoner and women. And that reason, the
regime brought excuse for my parents, and they didn't allow them to come to the United States because of me and my brother. And they say Nasrin has a TV show, and she's, you know, talking against the government. She is talking about overthrow the regime or changing the regime.

And then, you know, they didn't allow my parents to leave in Iran. They are stationed in Iran, and there are a lot happening.
Q. Did you fear for the safety of your parents?
A. I'm so worried about my parents. They are worried about us, and we are worried about them, but I'm in the country as a freedom. But $I$ know there are many agent of the regime in this country.

But my parents, their house is bugged, the phone. They're listening to the phone. They are controlling them. They are emotionally torturing them.

My mom is so sick, and my father took her to many doctors, the best doctors. They told my father she's -- because it's emotionally, she's -- you know, she was hurt, and that reason she's with pain. She cannot watch, she cannot -- with pain, she's just laying down on the bed. And she's sixty, like ninety years old. And they got age because it's emotionally
hurt and the regime hurting them. They are a hostage in Iran. Even they can't go to Turkey or Dubai.

We are okay. I understand, you know. I
adore them. We are a close family. Adore my parents. I'm hurt, but they are hurt too. Most of their children, they are all here. Just $I$ have one brother in Iran.
Q. Your parents know that you are here today?
A. They don't know. I didn't want to --
because the phone, they are listening their phone, the regime. I didn't tell them. I hope they will understand by other way, by media or -- you know, I don't want directly to tell them.
Q. But you're here representing Akbar and your parents and your sister, giving testimony on their behalf?
A. Yes.
Q. As did Manouchehr?
A. Yes.

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay.
I have no further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused, Miss Mohammadi.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thanks. Thank you so much.

THE COURT: All right. So for the last two witnesses, you are going to present Mr. Ledeen and Mr. Keyes -- you're going to present that testimony by way of affidavit?

MR. KLAYMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: And when do you anticipate submitting those affidavits?

MR. KLAYMAN: Can we have ten days, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you may have ten days.
In fact, why don't we give you ten days to produce or submit to the Court any supplemental material covering scope and jurisdictional -- both subject matter and personal jurisdiction issues that we discussed first thing this morning, as well as those affidavits.

MR. KLAYMAN: And I'll also be submitting supplemental material or evidence on damages, on the economic damages, so $I$ don't have to -- we don't have to go through that today.

THE COURT: I think that will be probably appropriate as well.

All right. Anything further?
MR. KLAYMAN: No, Your Honor. I just thank you for your courtesy and --

Oh, he wanted to make one correction on his translation, Mr. Moslehi.

THE COURT: Yes, please.
THE INTERPRETER: Instead of being set free to go to the graveyard of his brother, "furlough" is the proper word, not free. Free means is forever. But I'm just trying to correct it.

THE COURT: He was given a sick -- a furlough.

THE INTERPRETER: Legal word is "furlough."
THE COURT: Thank you very much for that correction.

THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right.
Thank you all very much. You're all excused.

And I will await your further submissions, Mr. Klayman.

MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
May I ask one -- how quickly can we get a transcript here?

THE COURT: You can talk to my court reporter.

MR. KLAYMAN: If I could get ten days from the point of the transcript, if that would be
possible. I will order an expedited copy.
THE COURT: You want ten days from the date of receipt?

MR. KLAYMAN: Of the transcript.
THE COURT: I actually don't like to do that. I like to do a date certain, so I will give you fifteen days. And if you order expedited, I think you can talk to my court reporter, Chantal Geneus, who will get you the transcript in as expedited a fashion as possible.

MR. KLAYMAN: Okay. That's fine.
THE COURT: So $I$ will give you fifteen days to make accommodations for the ordering of the transcript.

MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you.
THE COURT: I'll enter a minute order to that effect.

MR. KLAYMAN: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(Whereupon, at 3:36 p.m. the proceedings concluded.)
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